

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS BASED ON REVIEW OF:

Request for Select Board Review Submitted by Attar Engineering on Feb 24th, 2023

CLIENT: Jeff Brubaker, AICP Town Planner Town of Eliot, Maine 333 State Road Eliot, Maine 03903 Ph: (207) 439-1813 x112 jbrubaker@eliotme.org

PROJECT:

The Village at Great Brook Tax Map 17, Lot 29 Bolt Hill Road, Eliot, Maine

DATE: March 8th, 2023

Documents Reviewed (Attachment 3):

- Request for Select Board Review, The Village at Great Brook (Tax Map 17, Lot 29) Bolt Hill Road, Eliot, Maine submitted by Attar Engineering February 24th, 2023
- Approved bonding letter from Frankenmuth Insurance Company dated February 23rd, 2023

Documents Referenced (Attachment 4):

- Report of Pavement and Gravel Investigations generated by John Turner Consulting and dated September 14th, 2019
- Site Details for Villages at Great Brook generated by Attar Engineering and dated June 26th, 2006.
- Plan of Land for Villages at Great Brook generated by Millennium Engineering and dated April 5th, 2019.

JTC Contributing Representatives: J. Turner, A. Anderson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:

It is the opinion of John Turner Consulting, Inc. (JTC) that the scope proposed in the Request for Select Board Review does not fully encompass the recommendations of the field investigations completed by JTC in 2019. That investigation included gravel composition analysis, gravel compaction analysis, pavement thickness measurements, and pavement densities analysis for portions of the development roadways. Attachment 1 of this report includes a diagram showing the limits of the original investigation and a summary of the original investigation recommendations. Attachment 2 of this report shows the cost analysis of the pricing submitted by Attar Engineering for their proposed scope, as well as the estimated costs of the JTC recommended scope of repair. A summary of the relationship between the original investigation and submission to the Select Board is below:

Village Drive – Station 0+00 to 12+30



JTC did not conduct investigations for the first portion of Village Drive, beginning at Bolt Hill Road, assumed to be Station 0+00, and extending approximately 1,230 feet to Station 12+30. A brief visual inspection of this section of roadway in March of 2023 found significant deformation or grades, significant center line cracking for the entire length, trench settlement, and alligator cracking in localized areas. These conditions imply there may be gravel composition or gravel compaction issues in addition to the possibility of pavement composition, depth, and/or compaction issues. JTC recommends further pavement and gravel investigation on this portion of roadway. As this portion of roadway was not included in the original report, it is assumed it was not included in the scope and bonding estimate submitted by Attar Engineering and reviewed in this report.

כ

Village Drive – Station 12+30 to 13+80



JTC investigation of this portion of roadway found significant gravel composition issues and pavement compaction and thickness issues. JTC recommended the removal of pavement and base gravels to full section and replacement in accordance with approved roadway section details. The scope and pricing submitted by Attar Engineering is in line with this recommendation. The cost estimate provided by Attar Engineering was itemized and analysis based on bid tab analysis data from MaineDOT bidding for similar scope and material. Based on that analysis JTC believes the submitted estimate of \$15,000 is approximately \$19,583 shy of the estimated total repair cost of \$34,583.

Village Drive – Station 13+80 to 18+00



JTC investigation of this portion of roadway found significant and broad pavement compaction and thickness issues. The base gravels did not meet the reference specifications of submitted details, however they are believed to perform well if compacted to recommended densities and extended past the angle of repose beyond the edge of pavement. JTC had recommended removal of the existing base pavement; grading, extending, and compacting the existing gravel; and paving base and surface to detailed thicknesses and densities. The scope and pricing submitted by Attar Engineering is in not line with this recommendation. The cost analysis provided limited the scope to pavement repairs and resurfacing, leaving the existing base pavement and uncompacted gravels in place. The cost estimate provided by Attar Engineering was itemized and analysis based on bid tab analysis data from MaineDOT bidding for similar scope and material. Based on that analysis JTC believes the submitted estimate of \$50,000 is approximately \$18,190 in excess of the estimated total repair cost of \$31,810 for the proposed scope. JTC recommends requiring the full recommended scope of pavement removal or reclamation; extending, grading, and compacting base gravels; paving base course; and paving surface course to detailed thicknesses and densities. The recommended scope would cost approximately \$64,476, \$14,476 more than the estimate provided.

Pheasant Lane – Station 0+00 to 12+40



JTC investigation of this portion of roadway found significant pavement compaction and thickness issues. The base gravels did not meet the reference specifications of submitted details, however they are believed to perform well if compacted to recommended densities and extended past the angle of repose beyond the edge of pavement. JTC had recommended removal of the existing base pavement; grading, extending, and compacting the existing gravel; and paving base and surface to detailed thickness and densities. The scope and pricing submitted by Attar Engineering is in not line with this recommendation. The cost analysis provided limited the scope to pavement repairs and resurfacing, leaving the existing base pavement and uncompacted gravels. The cost estimate provided by Attar Engineering was itemized and analysis based on bid tab analysis data from MaineDOT bidding for similar scope and material. Based on that analysis JTC believes the submitted estimate of \$32,000 is approximately \$40,486 shy of the estimated total repair cost of \$72,486 for the proposed scope. JTC recommends requiring the full recommended scope of pavement removal or reclamation; extending, grading, and compacting base gravels; paving base course; and paving surface course to recommended thicknesses and densities. The recommended scope would cost approximately \$168,931, \$136,931 more than the estimate provided.

Drives - Sagamore Lane



JTC did not do any investigation for this shared drive. A visual inspection in March of 2023 indicated significant gravel and pavement issues. JTC recommends further investigation in the composition and compaction of gravels and pavements used to build the drive. A cost analysis of the submitted scope of remove all asphalt to correct grading Issues, fine-grade existing gravel base for proper drainage, compact existing gravel base, and pave 2" base course asphalt and 1" surface course found the estimate cost of \$11,000 was \$8,111 shy of the \$19,111 JTC estimated cost.

<u> Drives – Abenaki Trail</u>



JTC did not do any investigation for this shared drive. A visual inspection in March of 2023 indicated significant gravel and pavement issues. JTC recommends further investigation in the composition and compaction of gravels and pavements used to build the drive. A cost analysis of the submitted scope of clean-up of existing paved surface (base course), trimming of back edges, leveling depressions and sinkholes, and pave surface course overlay of 1-1/2" found the estimate cost of \$10,000 was \$1,131 shy of the \$11,131 JTC estimated cost.

All Drives on Village Drive and Pheasant Lane

JTC did not do any investigation for the driveways along Village Drive and Pheasant Lane. A visual inspection in March of 2023 indicated significant gravel and pavement issues. JTC recommends further investigation in the composition and compaction of gravels and pavements used to build the driveways. A cost analysis of the submitted scope of repair found the provided estimated costs were equivalent to the JTC estimated costs for repair.

Landscaping and Post-Construction Maintenance

JTC did not investigate the costs or scope of these items.

Conclusion

JTC recommends further investigation of Village Driver form Station 0+00 to Station 12+30. For the scope of work provided by Attar Engineering and cost analysis attached to it, JTC believed the actual construction costs to be approximately \$199,335 more than what has been submitted.

JTC also recommended construction oversight during the repair work being recommended and any expansion of the roadways in the development.

כי

ATTACHMENT 1

Village at Great Brook Elliot, Maine

Good to Grow Childcare

Village Dr

Pheasant Lane Station 0+00 to 12+40 Full pavement section removal and replacement recommended

Village Dr

Village Drive Station 0+00 to 12+30 No Investigation Completed Asphalt and soils investigation recommended

236

Village Drive Station 12+30 to 13+80 Full depth reconstruction recommended

Village Drive Station 13+80 to 18+00 Full pavement section removal Bolt Hill Rd and replacement recommended

Bolt Hill Rd

Seeley Ln

Pavement Repair Recommendations

כי

ATTACHMENT 2

	Develope	er Sumiss	sion		FOR SCOPE PROPOSED E	JTC Pric BY DEVELOP	e Review PER (NOT	v 'IN LINE WITH	I EVALUATION)	FOR SCOPE RECOM	JTC Price MENDED (FI	Review	VEMENT EVAI	UATION)
Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price
Cons	truction Ite	ms for C	ompliance		Const Assumed	truction Iter d scope is St	ms for Co tation 12	ompliance +30 to 13+80		Const Assumed	ruction Iten scope is Sta	ns for Co ation 12-	mpliance +30 to 13+80	
Roadway Adjustment - Village Drive:	1.00	LS	\$15,000	\$15,000	Roadway Adjustment - V	illage Drive	:			Roadway Adjustment - Vil	lage Drive:			
Lump Sum Includes: 150 13+80 Removal of Existi Shifted Asphalt Surface	' Section of ng Asphalt v Fine-Grade	Village D vithin Se Existing (Drive, Stations action. Extend Gravel Base fo	: 12+30 thru Gravel Base for or Proper	Remove pavement surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 150 feet long	333.33	SY	\$25.00	\$8,333.33	Remove pavement surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 150 feet long	333.33	SY	\$25.00	\$8,333.33
Drainage Roll & Compa Base Course Asphalt	ct Existing &	Extende	d Gravel Base	e Pave 1-3/4"	Type A Gravel dimensions per detail: 27 feet wide, 150 feet long, 6" depth	75.00	СҮ	\$60.00	\$4,500.00	Type A Gravel dimensions per detail: 27 feet wide, 150 feet long, 6" depth	75.00	СҮ	\$60.00	\$4,500.00
					Type D Gravel dimensions per detail: 27 feet wide, 150 feet long, 15" depth	187.50	СҮ	\$50.00	\$9,375.00	Type D Gravel dimensions per detail: 27 feet wide, 150 feet long, 15" depth	187.50	СҮ	\$50.00	\$9,375.00
					Asphalt Base dimensions = 20 feet wide, 150 feet long, 1.75" depth	32.08	Tons	\$225.00	\$7,218.75	Asphalt Base dimensions = 20 feet wide, 150 feet long, 1.75" depth	32.08	Tons	\$225.00	\$7,218.75
					Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 150 feet long, 1.25" depth	22.92	Tons	\$225.00	\$5,156.25	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 150 feet long, 1.25" depth	22.92	Tons	\$225.00	\$5,156.25
				Total= \$34,583.3		\$34,583.33	Total= \$34,58				\$34,583.33			
					Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review =				-\$19,583.33	Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review=				-\$19,583.33

	Develope	er Sumiss	sion		FOR SCOPE PROPOSED E	JTC Pric BY DEVELOF	e Reviev PER (NOT	/ IN LINE WITH	I EVALUATION)	FOR SCOPE RECOM	JTC Price MENDED (FI	Review	/EMENT EVA	LUATION)
Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price
	Paving:	Fravelwa	ays		Assumed limits f Assumed limits fo	Paving: 1 or Village D or Pheasant	ravelwa rive is St Lane is S	ys ation 13+80 t Station 0+00 t	o 18+00 to 12+40	Remove/F Assumed limits fo Assumed limits fo	Replace Base or Village Dr or Pheasant	Asphali ive is Sta Lane is S	: - Travelways ation 13+80 to tation 0+00 to	; o 18+00 o 12+40
Village Drive:	1.00	LS	\$50,000	\$50,000	Village Drive:					Village Drive:				
Lump Sum Includes: Cle Trimming of Back Edges Surface Course Overlay	an-Up of Exi 5. Leveling of of 1-1/2"	sting Pav f Depress	ved Surface (B sions and Sink	ase Course). holes Pave	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 420 feet long, 1.5" depth	77.00	Tons	\$250.00	\$19,250.00	Remove Pavement Surface or Reclaim and Grade existing surface = 20 feet wide, 420 feet long	933.33	SY	\$35.00	\$32,666.67
					Tack Coat dimensions = 20 feet wide, 420 feet long, .03 Gal/SY	28.00	Gal	\$20.00	\$560.00	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 420 feet long, 1.5" depth	77.00	Tons	\$250.00	\$19,250.00
					Shim Allowance	50.00	Tons	\$200.00	\$10,000.00	Tack Coat dimensions = 20 feet wide, 420 feet long, .03 Gal/SY	28.00	Gal	\$20.00	\$560.00
					Trimming Allowance	1.00	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	Shim Allowance 50.00 Tons \$200.00 \$10,000.00				
										Trimming Allowance 1.00 LS \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00				
						1	1	Total=	\$31,810.00		1		Total=	\$64,476.67
				Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review = \$18,190.00				\$18,190.00	Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review= -\$				-\$14,476.67	

Developer Sumission Estimate Line Item Quantity Unit Unit Price Price					FOR SCOPE PROPOSED E	JTC Pric BY DEVELOP	e Review ER (NOT	/ IN LINE WITH	HEVALUATION)	FOR SCOPE RECOM	JTC Price MENDED (FI	Review	VEMENT EVA	LUATION)
Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price
Pheasant Lane:	1.00	LS	\$32,000	\$32,000	Pheasant Lane:					Pheasant Lane:				
Lump Sum Includes: Cleo Trimming of Back Edges Surface Course Overlay	an-Up of Exi 5. Leveling oj of 1-1/2"	isting Par f Depress	ved Surface (E sions and Sink	ase Course). holes Pave	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 1240 feet long, 1.5" depth	227.33	Tons	\$250.00	\$56,833.33	Remove Pavement Surface or Reclaim and Grade existing surface = 20 feet wide, 1240 feet long	2755.56	SY	\$35.00	\$96,444.44
					Tack Coat dimensions = 20 feet wide, 1240 feet long, .03 Gal/SY	82.67	Gal	\$20.00	\$1,653.33	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 1240 feet long, 1.5" depth	227.33	Tons	\$250.00	\$56,833.33
					Shim Allowance	50.00	Tons	\$200.00	\$10,000.00	Tack Coat dimensions = 20 feet wide, 1240 feet long, .03 Gal/SY	82.67	Gal	\$20.00	\$1,653.33
					Trimming Allowance	1.00	LS	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	Shim Allowance	50.00	Tons	\$200.00	\$10,000.00
									\$0.00	Trimming Allowance	1.00	LS	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
						1		Total=	\$72,486.67		1		Total=	\$168,931.11
					Δ Deve	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review =	-\$40,486.67	Δ Dev	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review=	-\$136,931.11
	Grading/Pav	ing: Driv	veways		G	rading/Pavi	ing: Drive	eways		Gi	rading/Pavir	ng: Drive	eways	
Sagamore Lane (Driveways for All 4 Homes):	1.00	LS	\$11,000	\$11,000	Sagamore Lane (Drivewa	ys for All 4	Homes):			Sagamore Lane (Driveway	rs for All 4 H	omes):		
Lump Sum Includes: Ren Fine-Grade Existing Gra Existing Gravel Base. Pa	nove all Asp vel Base for ve 2" Base (halt to C Proper L Course As	orrect Gradin Drainage Roll Sphalt Pave St	g Issue. & Compact ırface Course	Remove pavement surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 100 feet long	222.22	SY	\$25.00	\$5,555.56	Remove pavement surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 100 feet long	222.22	SY	\$25.00	\$5,555.56
Overlay of 1					Grading Allowance	1.00	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	Grading Allowance	1.00	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
					Asphalt Base dimensions = 20 feet wide, 100 feet long, 2" depth	24.44	Tons	\$225.00	\$5,500.00	Asphalt Base dimensions = 20 feet wide, 100 feet long, 2" depth	24.44	Tons	\$225.00	\$5,500.00
					Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 100 feet long, 1" depth	12.22	Tons	\$250.00	\$3,055.56	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 100 feet long, 1" depth	12.22	Tons	\$250.00	\$3,055.56
Total= \$19,111.				\$19,111.11	Total= \$19,111.11				\$19,111.11					
					∆ Deve	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review =	-\$8,111.11	Δ Dev	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review=	-\$8,111.11

	Develope	er Sumiss	sion		FOR SCOPE PROPOSED E	JTC Pric BY DEVELOP	e Reviev PER (NOT	v 'IN LINE WITH	I EVALUATION)	FOR SCOPE RECOM	JTC Price MENDED (FI	Review ROM PA		LUATION)
Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price
Abenaki Trail (Driveways for All 5 Homes):	1.00	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000	Abenaki Trail (Driveways	for All 5 Ho	omes):			Abenaki Trail (Driveways	for All 5 Hor	nes):		
Lump Sum Includes: Cleo Trimming of Back Edges Surface Course Overlay	an-Up of Exi :. Leveling D of 1-1/2"	sting Pav epression	ved Surface (B ns and Sinkho	ase Course). les Pave	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 130 feet long, 1.5" depth	23.83	Tons	\$250.00	\$5,958.33	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 20 feet wide, 130 feet long, 1.5" depth	23.83	Tons	\$250.00	\$5,958.33
					Tack Coat dimensions = 20 feet wide, 130 feet long, .03 Gal/SY	8.67	Gal	\$20.00	\$173.33	Tack Coat dimensions = 20 feet wide, 130 feet long, .03 Gal/SY	8.67	Gal	\$20.00	\$173.33
					Shim Allowance	20.00	Tons	\$200.00	\$4,000.00	Shim Allowance	20.00	Tons	\$200.00	\$4,000.00
					Trimming Allowance 1.00 LS \$1,000.00 \$1,000.00 Trimming Allowance 1.00 LS \$1,000.00						\$1,000.00			
							1	Total=	\$11,131.67				Total=	\$11,131.67
					∆ Deve	loper Subm	ission to	JTC Review =	-\$1,131.67	Δ Dev	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review=	-\$1,131.67
Village Drive (Driveways for All Homes):	1.00	LS	\$20,000	\$20,000	Village Drive (Driveways	for All Hom	es):			Village Drive (Driveways f	or All Home	s):		
Lump Sum Includes: Saw & 30 Village Drive Clean Trimming of Back Edges Surface Course Overlay	vcut Elevate -Up of Exist . Levelling o of 1-1/2"	d Asphal ing Pave f Depres	t Section near d Surface (Ba sions and Sinl	Garage of 28 se Course). choles Pave	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 8 drives, approx. 20'X40' each, 1.5" depth	58.67	Tons	\$250.00	\$14,666.67	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 8 drives, approx. 20'X40' each, 1.5" depth	58.67	Tons	\$250.00	\$14,666.67
					Tack Coat dimensions =10.67Gal\$20.00\$213.33Tack Coat dimensions =10.67Gal\$20.0020 feet wide, 130 feetlong, .03 Gal/SYJong, .03 Gal/SYJong, .03 Gal/SYJong, .03 Gal/SYJong, .03 Gal/SYJong, .03 Gal/SY						\$213.33			
					Shim Allowance 20.00 Tons \$200.00 \$4,000.00 Shim Allowance 20.00 Tons \$200.00					\$4,000.00				
					Trimming/Sawcutting1.00LS\$2,000.00\$2,000.00Trimming/Sawcutting1.00LS\$2,000.00Allowance					\$2,000.00				
					Total= \$20,880.00 Total=					\$20,880.00				
		Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review = -\$880.00 Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review=					-\$880.00							

	Develope	er Sumiss	sion		FOR SCOPE PROPOSED E	JTC Pric BY DEVELOP	e Review ER (NOT	/ IN LINE WITH	I EVALUATION)	FOR SCOPE RECOMI	JTC Price MENDED (FI	Review ROM PA	/EMENT EVAL	UATION)
Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price
Pheasant Lane (Driveways for All Homes):	1.00	LS	\$26,000	\$26,000	Pheasant Lane (Driveway	vs for All Ho	mes):			Pheasant Lane (Driveways	for All Hon	nes):		
Lump Sum Includes: Saw & 32 Pheasant Lane Sav & 45 Pheasant Lane Cle Trimming of Back Edges	vcut Elevate vcut Elevate an-Up of Ex . Levelling c	d Asphal d Asphal isting Pa of Depres	t Section nea It Section nea ved Surface (I sions and Sin	r Garage of 30 r Garage of 43 Base Course). kholes Pave	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 10 drives, approx. 20'X40' each, 1.5" depth	73.33	Tons	\$250.00	\$18,333.33	Asphalt Surface dimensions = 10 drives, approx. 20'X40' each, 1.5" depth	73.33	Tons	\$250.00	\$18,333.33
Surface Course Overlay	of 1-1/2"				Tack Coat dimensions = 20 feet wide, 130 feet long, .03 Gal/SY	13.33	Gal	\$20.00	\$266.67	Tack Coat dimensions = 20 feet wide, 130 feet long, .03 Gal/SY	13.33	Gal	\$20.00	\$266.67
					Shim Allowance	20.00	Tons	\$200.00	\$4,000.00	Shim Allowance	20.00	Tons	\$200.00	\$4,000.00
					Trimming/Sawcutting1.00LS\$4,000.00\$4,000.00Trimming/Sawcutting1.00LS\$4,000.00\$4,000.00Allowance					\$4,000.00				
					Total= \$26,600.00 Total=					\$26,600.00				
Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review =				-\$600.00	∆ Deve	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review=	-\$600.00					

	Develope	r Sumiss	sion		FOR SCOPE PROPOSED I	JTC Pric BY DEVELOP	e Reviev ER (NOT	v ' IN LINE WITH	I EVALUATION)	FOR SCOPE RECOM	JTC Price MENDED (F	e Review ROM PA		LUATION)
Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price
Lands	scaping & Tr	ansporta	ation Safety		Landso	caping & Tra No investig	ansporta ation by	tion Safety JTC		Landso	aping & Tra No investig	nsportat ation by	tion Safety JTC	
Shade Tree Plantings - Pheasant Lane:	1.00	LS	\$4,000	\$4,000	Shade Tree Plantings - Pl	neasant Lan	e:			Shade Tree Plantings - Ph	easant Lane	:		
Lump Sum Includes: Exc Pheasant Lane Placeme	avation of P nt of 3x Fire	lanting T man's M	rench behind aple. Stabilizo	11 & 13 ition and	3 Maple Trees	3.00	EA	\$500.00	\$1,500.00	3 Maple Trees	3.00	EA	\$500.00	\$1,500.00
Mulching of Excavatea .	sne				Stabilization Allowance	1.00	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	Stabilization Allowance	1.00	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00
						•		Total=	\$3,500.00				Total=	\$3,500.00
					Δ Deve	eloper Submission to JTC Review = \$500.00 Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review=					△ Developer Submission to JTC Review= \$500.00			\$500.00
Removal of Existing Fill Stockpile:	1.00	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000	Removal of Existing Fill S	tockpile:				Removal of Existing Fill Stockpile:				
Lump Sum Includes: Exc Smoothing and Blending Seeding/Mulching of Di	avation of Fi g of Ground . sturbed Arec	ll Stockp Surface t (As Nee	ile and Trucki o Surroundin ded)	ng Off-Site. g Grade	Excavation.Truckin/Site Stabilization allowance	1.00	LS	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	0,000.00 Excavation.Truckin/Site 1.00 LS \$10,000.00 \$ Stabilization allowance				\$10,000.00
								Total=	\$10,000.00				Total=	\$10,000.00
					∆ Deve	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review =	\$0.00	∆ Dev	eloper Subm	nission to	JTC Review=	\$0.00
Ornamental Stone - Pheasant Lane Culverts:	1.00	LS	\$2,000	\$2,000	Ornamental Stone - Phea	asant Lane (Culverts:			Ornamental Stone - Phea	sant Lane Cu	ulverts:		
Lump Sum Includes: Sta Removal of Debris from Geotextile (As Needed). Placement of Ornament	bilization of Culvert Fore Placement o tal Stone aro	Side Slop bays (As of Landso und Culy	bes at Drivewo Needed) Rep cape Paper Be vert Inlets	ay Culverts. air of Exposed dding.	Landscaping allowance, culvert inlet/outlet stabilozation allowance	1.00	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	Landscaping allowance, culvert inlet/outlet stabilozation allowance	1.00	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00
								Total=	\$2,000.00				Total=	\$2,000.00
					∆ Deve	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review =	\$0.00	∆ Dev	eloper Subm	nission to	JTC Review=	\$0.00
Boulder Barriers - Pheasant Lane:	1.00	LS	\$9,000	\$9,000	000 Boulder Barriers - Pheasant Lane: Boulder Barriers - Pheasant Lane:									
Lump Sum Includes: Sta Excavation for Boulder I Boulder Barriers (4" Em Disturbed Area (As Nee	bilization of Placement (5 bedment De ded)	Side Slop ' Separa oth Min.	pes within cul- tion Max.) Plo) Seeding/Mu	de-sac. acement of 3' Iching of	Landscaping allowance, 1.00 LS \$9,000.00 \$9,000.00 Landscaping allowance, 1.00 LS \$9,000.00 to f 3' culvert inlet/outlet of 3' stabilozation allowance allowance and the stabilozation allowan					\$9,000.00				
Tot				Total=	\$9,000.00	.00 Total= \$9,000			\$9,000.00					
Δ Developer Submission to JTC I				JTC Review =	\$0.00	∆ Dev	eloper Subm	nission to	JTC Review=	\$0.00				

	Develope	er Sumis	sion		FOR SCOPE PROPOSED E	JTC Pric BY DEVELOP	e Review ER (NOT	v 'IN LINE WITH	I EVALUATION)	FOR SCOPE RECOM	JTC Price MENDED (FI	e Review ROM PA		LUATION)
Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price	Estimate Line Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price
Emergency Access Gate (Quail Lane):	1.00	LS	\$5,000	\$5,000	Emergency Access Gate (Quail Lane)	:			Emergency Access Gate (C	Quail Lane):			
Lump Sum Includes: Exc Emergency Access Gate	avation of G Installation	ate Post of Knox	Foundations. Box Keyed Ent	Installation of try Device.	Remove/Replace Gate	1.00	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	Remove/Replace Gate	1.00	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
						•		Total=	\$5,000.00		•		Total=	\$5,000.00
					Δ Deve	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review =	\$0.00	∆ Dev	eloper Subm	nission to	JTC Review=	\$0.00
Pos	st-Construct	tion Mai	ntenance		Pos	t-Constructi No investig	on Main ation by	tenance JTC		Post	-Construction No investigation	on Maint ation by	tenance JTC	
Maintenance of Emergency Access Drive:	1.00	LS	\$25,000	\$25,000	Maintenance of Emerger	ncy Access E	ccess Drive: Maintenance of Emergency Access Drive:							
Lump Sum Includes: Find Drainage Monitoring ar Surface Annual Snow Re	e-Grade Exis ad Repairs oj emoval Cont	ting Gra f Channe ract (Sal	vel Surface fo lized Flow acr t/Sand, Plowii	r Proper oss Gravel ng)	Maintenance	1.00	LS	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	Maintenance 1.00 LS \$25,000.00 \$2			\$25,000.00	
								Total=	\$25,000.00				Total=	\$25,000.00
					∆ Deve	eloper Subm	ission to	JTC Review =	\$0.00	∆ Dev	eloper Subm	nission to	JTC Review=	\$0.00
Inspection of Sewer Service Lines:	1.00	LS	\$9,000	\$9,000	Inspection of Sewer Serv	ice Lines:				Inspection of Sewer Servi	ce Lines:			
Lump Sum Includes: CCT Station Jetting of Line to Trucking during Inspect	V Inspection Clear Debr ions. Pavem	n of All U is (As Ne ent Mark	Init Service Lin eded). Remov kings of Servic	es from Pump al of Septic via e Line Locations	Sewer maintenance/marking	1.00	LS	\$9,000.00	\$9,000.00	Sewer maintenance/marking	1.00	LS	\$9,000.00	\$9,000.00
for Future Reference								Total=	\$9,000.00				Total=	\$9,000.00
					Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review = \$0.00					\$0.00				
	Develope	r Submis	sion		JTC Cost Review					JTC Cost	Review			
	Contingen	су (10%	Subtotal of Subtotal 1) Total	\$228,000.00 \$22,800.00 \$250.800.00	Subtotal \$280,102.78 0.00 Contingency (10% of Subtotal 1) \$28,010.28 Contingency (10% of Subtotal 1) 0.00 Total \$308,113.06 Subtotal			Subtotal of Subtotal 1) Total	\$409,213.89 \$40,921.39 \$450.135.28					
			. 500		Δ Developer Submission to JTC Review = -\$57,313.06			Δ Develop	er Submiss	sion to J	TC Review=	-\$199,335.28		

כי

ATTACHMENT 3

FRANKENMUTH INSURANCE COMPANY

February 23, 2023

Town of Eliot 1333 State Road Eliot, ME 03903

RE: Village on Great Brook, LLC 50 Nashua Road, Suite 203 Londonderry, NH 03053

Project: Site Improvements in connection with Village Drive

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please be advised that Frankenmuth Insurance Company is prepared to provide the requested Subdivision Bond in the amount equal to the estimated construction cost of \$250,800. We hope you will give them favorable consideration for your project.

Although Village on Great Brook, LLC has our highest recommendation, execution of any final bonds would be subject to a review of the underwriting terms and conditions, including any requested bond forms, and also their current financial standing at the time of the request.

This letter is written for no consideration and is not a legally binding document or commitment to provide future bonds. If you need any additional assurance regarding the bonding capacity of Village on Great Brook, LLC, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best Regards,

Joline L. Binette Attorney-In-Fact

Local Address: 103 Park Street, PO Box 481, Lewiston, ME 04243-0481

FRANKENMUTH INSURANCE COMPANY

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that Frankenmuth Insurance Company (the "Company"), a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Michigan, having its principal office at 1 Mutual Avenue, Frankenmuth, Michigan 48787, does hereby nominate, constitute and appoint:

> Robert E. Shaw, Jr., Benjamin S. Shaw, Heidi Rodzen, Melanie A. Bonnevie, Joline L. Binette, Nancy Castonguay, Samuel M. Goulet

Their true and lawful attorney(s)-in-fact, each in their separate capacity if more than one is named above, to make, execute, seal, acknowledge and deliver any and all bonds, contracts and undertakings of suretyship, with the exception of Financial Guaranty Insurance, provided, however, that the penal sum of any one such instrument shall not exceed the sum of:

Fifty Million and 00/100 Dollars (\$50,000,000)

This Power of Attorney is granted pursuant to the following Resolution duly adopted at a meeting of the Board of Directors of Frankenmuth Insurance Company:

"RESOLVED, that the President, Senior Vice President or Vice President and each of them under their respective designations, hereby is authorized to execute powers of attorney, and such authority can be executed by use of facsimile signature, which may be attested or acknowledged by any officer of the Company, qualifying the attorney(s) named in the given power of attorney, to execute on behalf of, and acknowledge as the act and deed of Frankenmuth Insurance Company on all bonds, contracts and undertakings of suretyship, and to affix the corporate seal thereto."

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Company has caused these presents to be signed and attested by its appropriate officers and its corporate seal hereutito affixed this 15th day of December, 2022.



Frankenmuth Insurance Company

Frederick A. Edmond, Jr., President and Chief Executive Officer

Sworn to before me, a Notary Public in the State of Michigan, by Frederick A. Edmond, Jr., to me personally known to be the individual and officer described in, and who executed the preceding instrument, deposed and said the Corporate Seal and his signature ar officer were affixed and subscribed to said instrument by the authority of the Company.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have set my hand, and affixed my Official Seal this 15th day of December, 2022

(Seal)

Susan & Fresorger

SS!

Susan L. Fresorger, Notary Public Saginaw County, State of Michigan My Commission Expires: April 3, 2028

I, the undersigned, Executive Vice President of Frankenmuth Insurance Company, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete copy of the original Power of Attorney; that said Power of Attorney has not been revoked or rescinded and is in full force and effect as of this date.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and affixed the Seal of the Company, this 23rd day of February 2023

Andrew H. Knudsen, Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Secretary

ALL CORRESPONDENCE RELATED TO BOND VALIDATION AND/OR A CLAIM SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO VP SURETY, 701 U.S. ROUTE ONE, SUITE 1, YARMOUTH, ME 04096



Mr. Michael Sullivan, Town Manager Town of Eliot, Maine 1333 State Road Eliot, Maine 03903 February 14th, 2023 Project No. C173-21

RE: Request for Select Board Review The Village at Great Brook (Tax Map 17, Lot 29) Bolt Hill Road, Eliot, Maine

Dear Mr. Sullivan:

In accordance with Town of Eliot Code of Ordinances §33-132.(b), Village on Great Brook, LLC. respectfully requests to be heard before the next-available Select Board meeting to discuss the performance guarantee associated with the Amendment to Existing Subdivision application that is currently before the Planning Board for hybrid review.

The Applicant has furnished a performance guarantee consistent with Option 1 of the abovementioned Ordinance section which covers a list of outstanding construction and maintenance items enumerated in the attached Estimate of Cost. The guarantee will be in the form of a bond, and additional information on said bond will be provided prior to the Select Board meeting.

We look forward to discussing the project with the Select Board at the February 23rd Select Board meeting. Please contact me for any additional information or clarifications required.

Sincerely;

Michael J. Sudåk, E.I. Staff Engineer

cc: Village on Great Brook, LLC.

Opinion of Cost - Villages at Great Brook (VGB) Bolt Hill Road, Eliot, Maine

02/14/2023

Estimate L	ine Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Price
	Construction I	tems for Con	npliance		
Roadway Adjustment - \	/illage Drive:	1	LS	\$15,000	\$15,000
Lump Sum Includes:	150' Section of Village	Drive, Station	ns 12+30 thru	13+80	
	Removal of Existing As	phalt within S	Section		
	Extend Gravel Base for	Shifted Asph	alt Surface		
	Fine-Grade Existing Gro	avel Base for	Proper Draind	age	
	Roll & Compact Existing	g & Extended	Gravel Base		
	Pave 1-3/4" Base Cours	se Asphalt			
	Paving	: Travelways		ī — T	
Village Drive:		1	LS	\$50,000	\$50,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Clean-Up of Existing Pa	aved Surface ('Base Course)		
	Trimming of Back Edge	25			
	Leveling of Depressions	s and Sinkhole	es		
	Pave Surface Course Or	verlay of 1-1/	2"		
Pheasant Lane:		1	LS	\$32,000	\$32,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Clean-Up of Existing Pa	aved Surface ('Base Course)		
	Trimming of Back Edge	25			
	Leveling of Depressions	s and Sinkhold	es		
	Pave Surface Course Or	verlay of 1-1/	2"		
	Grading/P	aving: Drivev	vays		
Sagamore Lane (Drivewa	ays for All 4 Homes):	1	LS	\$11,000	\$11,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Remove all Asphalt to (Correct Gradi	ng Issue		
	Fine-Grade Existing Gra	avel Base for	Proper Draind	age	
	Roll & Compact Existing	g Gravel Base	2		
	Pave 2" Base Course As	sphalt			
	Pave Surface Course O	verlay of 1"			
Abenaki Trail (Driveway	s for All 5 Homes):	1	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Clean-Up of Existing Pa	aved Surface ('Base Course)		
	Trimming of Back Edge	25			
	Leveling Depressions a	nd Sinkholes			
	Pave Surface Course Or	verlay of 1-1/	2"		
					400-000
Village Drive (Driveways	tor All Homes):	1	LS	\$20,000	\$20,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Sawcut Elevated Aspha	alt Section net	ar Garage of 2	28 & 30 Village	e Drive
	Clean-Up of Existing Pa	ivea Surface (Base Course)		
	Irimming of Back Edge	25			
	Levelling of Depression	s and Sinkhol	les		
	Pave Surface Course Ov	verlay of 1-1/	2"		

Pheasant Lane (Drivewa	ys for All Homes):	1	LS	\$26,000	\$26,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Sawcut Elevated Asph	alt Section ne	ar Garage of .	30 & 32 Pheas	ant Lane
	Sawcut Elevated Asphe	alt Section ne	ar Garage of 4	43 & 45 Pheas	ant Lane
	Clean-Up of Existing Po	aved Surface ((Base Course)		
	Trimming of Back Edge	25			
	Levelling of Depression	ns and Sinkhol	les		
	Pave Surface Course O	verlay of 1-1/	/2"		
	Landscaping &	Transportation	on Safety		
Shade Tree Plantings - P	heasant Lane:	1	LS	\$4,000	\$4,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Excavation of Planting	Trench behin	d 11 & 13 Phe	easant Lane	
	Placement of 3x Firem	an's Maple			
	Stabilization and Mulc	hing of Excave	ated Site		
Removal of Existing Fills	Stockpile:	1	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Excavation of Fill Stock	cpile and Truc	king Off-Site		
	Smoothing and Blendi	ng of Ground	Surface to Su	rrounding Gra	de
	Seeding/Mulching of D	Disturbed Arec	a (As Needed)		
Ormonia antal Stando Dha		1		62.000	¢2.000
Ornamental Stone - Phe	asant Lane Cuiverts:	L L	LS LS	\$2,000	\$2,000
Lump Sum includes:	Removal of Debris from	n Culvert Ford	way curverts	dad)	
	Removal of Debits from	tovtilo (Ac No	ebuys (As Nee	ueu)	
	Repuil of Exposed Geo	CEXTIE (AS NE	eueu) dina		
	Placement of Orname	ntal Stone aro	und Culvert II	nlets	
				nets	
Boulder Barriers - Pheas	ant Lane:	1	LS	\$9,000	\$9,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Stabilization of Side Slo	opes within cu	ıl-de-sac		
	Excavation for Boulder	Placement (5	5' Separation	Max.)	
	Placement of 3' Boulde	er Barriers (4"	'Embedment	Depth Min.)	
	Seeding/Mulching of D	Disturbed Area	a (As Needed)		
Emergency Access Gate	(Quail Lane):	1	LS	\$5,000	\$5,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Excavation of Gate Pos	st Foundation	S		
	Installation of Emerge	ncy Access Ga	ite		
	Installation of Knox Bo	x Keyed Entry	v Device		
	Post-Constru	uction Mainte	enance	· · ·	
Maintenance of Emerge	ncy Access Drive:	1	LS	\$25,000	\$25,000
Lump Sum Includes:	Fine-Grade Existing Gr	avel Surface f	or Proper Dra	linage	
	Monitoring and Repair	rs of Channeli	zed Flow acro	ss Gravel Surf	ace
	Annual Snow Removal	Contract (Sal	t/Sand, Plowi	ng)	

Inspection of Sewer Service Lines:	1	LS	\$9,000	\$9,000
Lump Sum Includes: CCTV Inspection of All	Unit Service L	ines from Pur	np Station	
Jetting of Line to Clear	Debris (As Ne	eded)		
Removal of Septic via T	Frucking durin	ng Inspections	;	
Pavement Markings of	Service Line	Locations for	Future Refere	nce
Subtotal				\$228,000
Contingency (10% of Subtotal 1)				\$22,800
Total				\$250,800

כי

ATTACHMENT 4



REPORT OF PAVEMENT AND GRAVEL OBSERVATIONS

CLIENT: Mr. Joel Kahn Equity Alliance LLC 7 Rolling Woods Drive Bedford, NH 03110 Ph: 603-472-3808 jkahn@equity-alliance.com

PROJECT: Village at Great Brook Eliot, ME

DATE: September 14, 2019

REPORT #: 19-10-066-002

General Location:Roadways - Phase I (Sta. 10+75 & Sta. 15+50 to 18+00) and Phase 2 (Sta. 0+00 to 12+36)Field Representatives:J. Turner, J. McCarthy, D. Grodan, & M. BronsteinAir Temperature:55°Weather:Overcast

SUMMARY OF PAVEMENT AND BASE GRAVEL INVESTIGATION:

On Saturday, September 14th, 2019, representatives of John Turner Consulting performed an investigation of the existing pavement and base gravels for roadway sections of the Village at Great Brook development in Eliot, Maine. This investigation consisted of cutting cored specimens of the asphalt material and collecting and measuring the underlying base gravel materials. Separate asphalt core samples were also taken to determine compaction percentages and the exposed, in-place base gravel was tested for compaction, as well.

COMPACTION CORE SAMPLES

Six (6)-inch diameter core samples were taken at six (6) locations over the roadway area. These samples were tested/measured to determine their thickness and bulk specific gravity. Two (2) of these samples were then tested to obtain a Maximum Theoretical Value for the binder material. The results were then averaged and compared against the bulk specific gravity of the 6 cores to determine a compaction percentage.

Thicknesses of the six (6) cores ranged from 1.46" to 2.29", with an average of 1.94". Compaction percentages ranged from 85.6% to 91.7%, with an average of 89.0%. Typical roadway compaction specification is 92 to 97% of Maximum Theoretical Value. Refer to the 6" Core Compaction Table for testing details.

Core Samples – (6" Diameter Cores)

CORE ID	LOCATION	THICKNESS (inches)	BULK SPECIFIC GRAVITY	THEORETICAL MAX (Avg of C-2 & C-4)	PERCENT COMPACTION
C-1	Sta. 17+10, R 4'	1.46	2.273	2.480	91.7%
C-2	Sta. 2+11, L 3'	1.67	2.157	2.480	87.0%
C-3	Sta. 5+33, R 6.5'	2.12	2.188	2.480	88.2%
C-4	Sta. 7+44, L 5'	2.29	2.275	2.480	91.7%
C-5	Sta. 8+88, R 1'	1.94	2.123	2.480	85.6%
C-6	Sta. 11+13, R 7'	2.17	2.229	2.480	89.9%

Thickness = Average of 3 Measurements

ROADWAY SOIL SAMPLES & COMPACTION TESTS

Nine (9) Locations were selected along the roadway sections for Phase 1 and Phase 2. Approximately 2'x2' sections of the asphalt binder were sawcut and removed from these areas. Once removed, in-place compaction tests were taken on the underlying base gravel. The areas were then hand-excavated to determine gravel thicknesses and obtain samples of the base material. The Driveway Cross Section and Cul-de-sac Cross Section details on Sheet 9 of the plans specify a 6" minimum layer of Crushed Gravel (MDOT Type A or B) for the paving base and a 15" minimum layer of Gravel Subbase (MDOT Type D or E). Moisture-Density relationships (Proctor values) were determined on 3 of the mainline roadway samples and 1 at the patch area at Sta. 10+75, which appeared to be a completely different sample than the others. The highest Proctor value was applied against the in-place density tests to obtain a compaction percentage. These are listed in the table below.

Two (2) separate samples (19-460, 19-461) were also collected of the gravel material along the roadway shoulders. These were compared against the MEDOT Type A & Type B specification, as well. The table below provides details on the samples collected.

Sample Number	Location	Base Layer Thickness	Moisture Content / Dry Density	Max. Dry Density	Percent Compaction	Notes
1) 19-460	Shoulder – Sta. 5+09, L	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B
2) 19-461	Shoulder – Sta. 6+34, L	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B
3) 19-484	Phase 1 – Sta. 17+02, L	22+"	2.0% / 129.7 pcf	133.9 pcf	96.9%	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B
4) 19-485	Phase 1 – Sta. 17+86, R	21+"	2.5% / 133.3 pcf	133.9 pcf	99.6%	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B
5) 19-486	Phase 2 – Sta. 2+50, R	21+"	2.4% / 133.4 pcf	133.9 pcf	99.6%	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B
6) 19-487	Phase 2 – Sta. 4+25, R	21+"	2.9% / 136.1 pcf	133.9 pcf	+100%	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B
7) 19-488	Phase 2 – Sta. 6+95, L	21+"	2.8% / 135.0 pcf	133.9 pcf	+100%	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B

8) 19-489	Phase 2 – Sta. 7+25, R	21+"	2.6% / 132.7 pcf	133.9 pcf	99.1%	MEETS MEDOT Type B (Type A: 1.5% out on #40)
9) 19-490	Phase 2 – Sta. 10+60, R	21+"	2.1% / 132.8 pcf	133.9 pcf	99.2%	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B
10) 19- 491	Phase 2 – Sta. 11+50, L	22+"	2.6% / 131.6 pcf	133.9 pcf	98.3%	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B
11) 19- 492	Phase 1 – Sta. 10+75 (Patch Area)	22+"	5.6% / 132.6 pcf	142.4 pcf	93.1%	Does NOT meet MEDOT Type A or B

THICKNESS CORES

In order to determine asphalt binder thicknesses for the roadway, cores were cut every 100' from Sta. 16+00 to 18+00 (Phase 1) and from 1+00 to 12+00 (Phase 2). 3 cores were taken at every location (1 at 24" off Right EOP, 1 at Centerline and 1 at 24" off Left EOP). The Driveway Cross Section and Cul-de-sac Cross Section details on Sheet 9 of the plans specify a thickness of 1 ³/₄" for the asphalt Base Course. Thickness core samples ranged from 1.52" to 4.44", with an average thickness of 2.19". Refer to the Core Thickness Table for individual measurements.

CORE ID	LOCATION	THICKNESS (inches)
1A	16+00, R	4.44
1B	16+00, CTR	2.56
1C	16+00, L	2.68
2A	17+00, R	2.03
2B	17+00, CTR	2.02
2C	17+00, L	2.00
3A	18+00, R	1.57
3B	18+00, CTR	1.92
3C	18+00, L	1.79
4A	1+00, R	1.82
4B	1+00, CTR	1.91
4C	1+00, L	1.97
5A	2+00, R	1.85
5B	2+00, CTR	2.04
5C	2+00, L	2.02
6A	3+00, R	1.62
6B	3+00, CTR	2.48
6C	3+00, L	2.29
7A	4+00, R	2.05

Thickness Core Samples (3" & 4" Diameter Cores)

7B	4+00, CTR	2.26
7C	4+00, L	2.06
8A	5+00, R	2.16
8B	5+00, CTR	1.8
8C	5+00, L	1.72
9A	6+00 <i>,</i> R	2.35
9B	6+00, CTR	1.93
9C	6+00, L	2.73
10A	7+00, R	2.15
10B	7+00, CTR	2.43
10C	7+00, L	1.91
11A	8+00, R	2.21
11B	8+00, CTR	2.48
11C	8+00, L	2.27
12A	9+00, R	2.58
12B	9+00, CTR	2.38
12C	9+00, L	1.60
13A	10+00, R	2.47
13B	10+00, CTR	4.02
13C	10+00, L	1.70
14A	11+00, R	2.78
14B	11+00, CTR	2.97
14C	11+00, L	1.60
15A	12,00 P	1 78
	12+00, R	1.70
15B	12+00, R 12+00, CTR	1.52

Right / Left (R / L) = 24" off the of Edge of Pavement (EOP) Thickness = Average of 3 Measurements

)-

Observations/Conclusions

- *Pavement thickness:* Eight (8) of the 45 thickness core samples were less than the specified 1.75". Two (2) of the 6 bulk specific gravity cores were less than the specified 1.75".
- Gravel thickness: All of the excavated holes, except Phase I Station 10 + 75, had greater than the specified 21 inches of gravel. The gravel at Station 10+75 was contaminated with clay/silt, debris and organics and thus does not meet the project specifications.
- *Gravel compaction:* All of the areas tested for gravel compaction exceeded the specification for a minimum of 95%.
- *Pavement compaction:* None of the six (6) samples tested for compaction achieved the minimum requirement of 92%. However, two cores were at 91.7 percent were close. The other four (4) cores were significantly below 92%.
- Gravel gradation: Ten (10) of the eleven (11) gravels samples failed to meet the project gradation requirement in the specification. However, with the exception of Phase I – Station 10+75, the gravels are generally close to the project specifications and did meet the Town of Eliot specifications. I would recommend approving the inplace gravels with the exception of Phase I – Station 10+75 area.
- Station 10+75 area JTC recommends fully boxing this area out and removing the in-place pavement and gravels, installing a filter fabric and reconstructing gravels and pavements in accordance with the project specifications.
- Driveways JTC did not perform any sampling or testing for any driveways as part of this evaluation. However, our visual observations were that the driveways have many structural defects, and surfaces are very rough which may be indicative to poor compaction which would be consistent with what was found for the road.
- Roadway Due to poor asphalt compaction, deficient asphalt depth and general poor workmanship, JTC
 recommends either removing the entire pavement cross-section or reclaiming the in-place pavement for the
 length of the project. Then the road should be re-paved in accordance with the project specifications.

We trust this letter meets your needs at this time. Please feel free to contact us with any questions or comments.















































Checked By: Jeff Y.



Checked By: Jeff Y.



_ Checked By: Jeff Y.



Checked By: Jeff Y.



Page 1 of 1

GEOTECHNICAL ▼ ENVIRONMENTAL ▼ RESIDENT ENGINEERING ▼ TESTING

HMA Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity Test Report (T 209)

Date/Time: 9/14/2019	Lab/Location: John	cation: John Turner Consulting - Dover, NH				
Weather: Overcast, 65	Date Rec'd #: 9/14/	2019	Random Sample:	No	-	
Project: Village at Great Brook	Lab Login #:		Lot #:			
Contract #:	Material ID: Binde	er Course	Sublot #:			
Contractor:	Material #:		Sample Location:			
Pay Item #:	Sample #:		Station:			
Source:	Sample Type: Other	•	Offset:			
Plant Type:	Sampled By/Cert. #: Dave	#: Dave Grodan #4352 & J. McCarthy #2988				

Maximum Specific Gravity of HMA (T 209)					
Specimen #:	C-2	C-4			
Mass of Dry Sample in Air (A):	1114.3	1901.9			
Mass of Pycnometer filled with Water (D): er at 25 +/- 1 °C)	1616.4	1616.4			
Mass of Pycnometer filled with Sample and Water (E): er at 25 +/- 1 °C)	2281.8	2750.4			
Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity (Gmm): (A/(A+D-E))	2.482	2.477			
Unit Weight, Kg/m ³ : Gmm * 1000)	2482	2477			
Average Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity (Gmm):2.480					
Average Unit Weight, Kg/m ³ :		248	0		

Comments:		
Tested by: John McCarthy	Reviewed by:	
Certification #: 919m	Certification #:	
Date: 9/19/2019	Date:	
Results Within Specification Limits:	Results Outside Specification Limits:	



GEOTECHNICAL ▼ ENVIRONMENTAL ▼ RESIDENT ENGINEERING ▼ TESTING

Page 1 of 1

New England Transportation Technician Certification Program

HMA Pavement Thickness and Compaction Test Report (D 3549, T 166, T 230, T 269)

Date/Time: 09/14/19	Lab/Location: John Turner Consult	ing - Dover, NH
Weather: Overcast, 65	Date Rec'd #: 9/14/2019	Random Sample:
Project: Village at Great Brook	Lab Login #:	Lot #:
Contract #:	Material ID: Binder Course	Sublot #:
Contractor:	Material #:	Sample Location:
Pay Item #:	Sample #:	Station:
Source:	Sample Type:	Offset:
Plant Type:	Sampled By/Cert. #: D. Grodan #4352 / J.	. McCarthy #2988

Core Identification Information					
Sample #:	C-1	C-2	C-3		
Lot #:					
Sublot #:					
Station:	17+10	2+11	5+33		
Offset:	R 4'	L 3'	R 6.5'		

Thickness Determination (D 3549)					
Measured Core Thickness, in: 1.46 1.67 2.12					
Target Thickness, in:	1.75	1.75	1.75		

Bulk Specific Gravity of Compacted HMA (T 166)						
Test Specimen Thickness, in:		1.00	1.25	1.75		
Mass of Dry Specimen in Air (A):		938.5	1120.8	1686.0		
Mass of Specimen at SSD (B):		943.5	1136.0	1696.2		
Mass of Specimen in Water (C):	(@25+/-1°C)	530.7	616.4	925.8		
Specimen Volume (V):	(B-C)	412.8	519.6	770.4		
Core Bulk Specific Gravity (Gmbc):	(A / (B - C))	2.273	2.157	2.188		
Unit Weight, Kg/m ³ :	(Gmbc * 1000)	2273	2157	2188		

Percent Compaction and Percent Air Voids in HMA (T 230, T 269)					
eoretical Maximum Specific Gravity (Gmm):	(From T 209)	2.480	2.480	2.480	
% Compaction of Gmm:	(Gmbc / Gmm) * 100	91.65322581	86.9758065	88.2258065	
Percent Voids in Place (Pa): (1	8.346774194	13.0241935	11.7741935		

Comments:		
Tested by: John McCarthy	Reviewed by:	
Certification #: 919m	Certification #:	
Date: 9/19/2019	Date:	
Results Within Specification Limits:	Results Outside Specification Limits:	
-		

Corporate Office: 19 Dover Street, Dover, NH 03820 | Ph. 603-749-1841 | www.consultJTC.com DOVER, NH | WORCESTER, MA | WESTFIELD, MA | PORTLAND, ME | WEST HARTFORD, VT | JOHNSTON, RI



GEOTECHNICAL ▼ ENVIRONMENTAL ▼ RESIDENT ENGINEERING ▼ TESTING

Page 1 of 1

New England Transportation Technician Certification Program

HMA Pavement Thickness and Compaction Test Report (D 3549, T 166, T 230, T 269)

Date/Time: 09/14/19	Lab/Location: John Turner Consult	ing - Dover, NH
Weather: Overcast, 65	Date Rec'd #: 9/14/2019	Random Sample:
Project: Village at Great Brook	Lab Login #:	Lot #:
Contract #:	Material ID: Binder Course	Sublot #:
Contractor:	Material #:	Sample Location:
Pay Item #:	Sample #:	Station:
Source:	Sample Type:	Offset:
Plant Type:	Sampled By/Cert. #: D. Grodan #4352 / J.	. McCarthy #2988

Core Identification Information				
Sample #:	C-4	C-5	C-6	
Lot #:				
Sublot #:				
Station:	7+44	8+88	11+13	
Offset:	L 5'	R 1'	R 7'	

Thickness Determination (D 3549)				
Measured Core Thickness, in:	2.29	1.94	2.17	
Target Thickness, in:	1.75	1.75	1.75	

Bulk Specific Gravity of Compacted HMA (T 166)					
Test Specimen Thickness, in:		1.95	1.94	1.75	
Mass of Dry Specimen in Air (A):		1911.9	1512.3	1675.6	
Mass of Specimen at SSD (B):		1919.6	1547.4	1682.6	
Mass of Specimen in Water (C):	(@25+/-1°C)	1079.1	835.1	931.0	
Specimen Volume (V):	(B-C)	840.5	712.3	751.6	
Core Bulk Specific Gravity (Gmbc):	(A / (B - C))	2.275	2.123	2.229	
Unit Weight, Kg/m ³ :	(Gmbc * 1000)	2275	2123	2229	

Percent Compaction and Percent Air Voids in HMA (T 230, T 269)					
eoretical Maximum Specific Gravity (Gmm):	(From T 209)	2.480	2.480	2.480	
% Compaction of Gmm:	(Gmbc / Gmm) * 100	91.73387097	85.6048387	89.8790323	
Percent Voids in Place (Pa): (1	00 * ((Gmm - Gmbc) / Gmm))	8.266129032	14.3951613	10.1209677	

Comments:		
Tested by: John McCarthy	Reviewed by:	
Certification #: 919m	Certification #:	
Date: 9/19/2019	Date:	
Results Within Specification Limits:	Results Outside Specification Limits:	
-		

Corporate Office: 19 Dover Street, Dover, NH 03820 | Ph. 603-749-1841 | www.consultJTC.com DOVER, NH | WORCESTER, MA | WESTFIELD, MA | PORTLAND, ME | WEST HARTFORD, VT | JOHNSTON, RI