SELECT BOARD MEETING March 22, 2018 5:30PM

Quorum noted

- **A. 5:30 PM:** Meeting called to order by Chairperson Davis.
- **B. Roll Call:** Ms. Davis, Mr. Murphy, Mr. Pomerleau, Mr. Hughes, and Mr. Donhauser.
- C. Pledge of Allegiance recited
- D. Moment of Silence observed
- E. Public Comment:

There was no public comment.

- F. Committee Resignations and Appointments
- 5:34 PM 1) Resignation Connie Weeks, Conservation Commission

Mr. Pomerleau moved, second by Mr. Murphy, that the Select Board accept the resignation of Constance Weeks from the Conservation Commission and ask the Town Manager to express the Select Board's deep appreciation for her many years of service.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Murphy – Yes

Ms. Davis – Yes

Mr. Pomerleau - Yes

Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

- **G.** Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting(s)
- **5:36 PM** Motion by Mr. Murphy, second by Mr. Hughes, to approve the joint budget meeting minutes of January 17, 2018, as they stand.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Murphy - Yes

Ms. Davis - Yes

Mr. Pomerleau - Yes

Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

5:41 PM Discussion regarding Page 13, motion to authorize Kenworth Truck.

Ms. Lemire said that the words in red come directly from Ms. Bergeron, saying that it was necessary to be this specific. She added that she wasn't sure what the SB wanted to do as it has been six weeks and past the time you can amend the motion; that it was suggested to put it in as a note.

Mr. Lee said that we should note the original motion (of February 8, 2018), as it was actually stated tonight; that he and Mr. Murphy agree, and Ms. Lemire, as well, that we put a note*..."this motion was amended at a subsequent meeting on such-and-such a date and include that language; that two weeks ago we had to amend that lease language so we would just specify in the minutes to please see the amended motion that supersedes this one – that type of thing.

Ms. Lemire asked the Select Board, for the record, if they accepted this language addition.

The Select Board said yes.

Original Motion:

"Mr. Pomerleau moved, second by Mr. Murphy, that the Select Board authorize the Town Manager to purchase the New England Kenworth stock 2017 Truck for \$127,500 with a 5-year lease purchase."

NOTE: This original motion was amended at the March 22, 2018 meeting to include the following language: ",that the \$37,500+/- in insurance money would be the down payment and the \$20,000 in TTs Reserve would be for payment of the first lease installment."

Motion by Mr. Murphy, second by Mr. Hughes, to approve the regular minutes of February 8, 2018, as amended.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Murphy – Yes Ms. Davis – Yes Mr. Pomerleau – Yes Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

DISCUSSION regarding the March 12, 2018 workshop minutes.

Mr. Murphy said that he had no corrections for the March 12, 2018 SB budget workshop meeting but he did have questions about whether we did things at that workshop which were actually taking action, like Line 36 – "The SB instructed staff to segregate any wage adjustment reserves out of contingency and into its own reserve." He asked if we have verified that by a vote at a regular meeting. He added that he raised it briefly with the Town Manager today as one of those things that took place at this budget workshop. He suggested that, maybe, it should, be budget workshop and business meeting, as needed, or something like that. He said that this looks like business, asking if it sounded like business.

5:48 PM

Mr. Lee said that he and Mr. Murphy discussed that, in the future, possibly what we should do, even if it's going to be a workshop, is to post it as a meeting so that, if you are in need of making a motion during the workshop and you just want to expedite it, then list them all as meetings so that you do have the option of voting. He added that he thought that was Mr. Murphy's primary concern in the way this was worded because it sounds like certain directions were taken, actions were decided, etc. He said that, in Line 36, it certainly was yes, we finally decided we should segregate those things but he wasn't sure that required a vote.

Ms. Davis asked how the rest of the SB felt.

Mr. Pomerleau said that he thinks eventually we need to vote on it; that he agreed with Mr. Murphy that it was consensus we had to take that action but he thinks we should put that in the form of a motion; that a transfer of funds ought to be a motion.

Mr. Hughes said that he did remember the discussion about it and remember Noah Lemire questioning whether it should be more transparent than it is; that we all said that that's probably a good way to do it.

5:50 PM

Mr. Lee suggested that, perhaps under New Business, we could take that issue up as a motion tonight.

Ms. Davis agreed. She asked how the SB felt about calling our workshops regular meetings or special meetings.

Mr. Hughes said that he didn't like it.

Ms. Davis said that, next time, we'll be more careful and make a list of things we need to take up at the next regular meeting.

Mr. Murphy agreed that that was a better way to do it.

DISCUSSION ENDED

5:54 PM Motion by Mr. Pomerleau, second by Mr. Murphy, to approve the budget workshop minutes of March 12, 2018, as amended.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Murphy – Yes Ms. Davis – Yes Mr. Pomerleau – Yes Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

H. Department Head/Committee Reports

There were no reports.

I. Public Works

5:55 PM 1) Additional Expense – Roll-off Truck (Tabled)

Mr. Lee said that this was a response to some questions regarding the additional cost of the roll-off truck; that the long-and-short was that it was an oversight on needing to move those axles and shorten them; that we did explain to the guy there what we were using it for; that he guesses it depends on what size container you are carrying and how far you have to move these things. He added that they did assure us that there was no warranty problem; that this type of modification is very commonplace and doesn't void the warranty, and we have that in writing. He said that we apologize for not having seen this in advance.

Mr. Murphy discussed his concern that the two lowest bidders did not appear to include the drive shaft work while the third, higher, bidder did, asking if Mr. Lee could clarify this.

5:58 PM Mr. Lee said that the price for that work is included in those two bids; that Mr. Moulton has confirmed that.

Mr. Hughes commented that he thought the initial reaction that we all had to this was, "How could you not know?"; that in looking at this Mr. Lee didn't really have to tell us that they were doing this because it's under Mr. Lee's approval

limit but he did and it raised all kinds of 'what the hey', including him. He added that he thinks it was a good thing to get this thing going; that he knows that Mr. Moulton mentioned this to Mr. Lee and Mr. Lee probably gave him a verbal approval to go ahead; that he got it going because it was costing us \$1,000/week just to have somebody haul this stuff. He said that he wanted to say, from that perspective, thank you; but, maybe, we would have been better off if we didn't know about this.

6:01 PM

Mr. Lee said that he did give verbal approval. He added that he felt that we had made a statement that everything was included and, if something comes through with a mechanical change, everyone would know that and felt we should come forward and say we messed up, especially in light of past purchasing policy discussions and how close this was to the limit.

J. Administrative Department

6:02 PM 1) Town Manager Report

Mr. Murphy asked if the insurance has been decided for the dock 'leg' per **Line 26.**

Mr. Lee said that it has not been decided; that it was recently put in as a claim and they are sending an adjustor down. He added that he sent in our annual report for the Boat Basin and, in it, he requested money from the State for repair of the piling; that he doesn't think they will do that.

Mr. Murphy asked about **Line 53** regarding attending the Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Lee said that he was on the Board of Directors and they have made him the legislative liaison; that one of the issues they are dealing with right now is that there is legislative discussion to allow drilling off the coast of Maine

6:05 PM a. Planning Board process

This is informational for the SB.

b. Financial Reports

Ms. Davis said that we need expense summary report to see totals and asked Mr. Lee to send that out when he had a moment.

6:09 PM 2) Response to Audit Questions

This memo from R_HR Smith & Company discussed reasons for the lateness of the 2016 audit.

Mr. Pomerleau said that, for all that explanation, he still doesn't have a clue as to why it was late by a year; that some of the language was too technical for understanding.

6:11 PM 3) Audit Bids

Mr. Lee said that he sent out 6 bids and received 2, one from R_HR Smith & Company (current auditor) and the other from Smith & Associates out of Yarmouth. He added that he has worked with both vendors; that they are both easy to work with and are very helpful; that the R_HR Smith & Company bid amount was \$64,000/5 years and Smith & Associates bid was \$78,900/5 years.

There was discussion regarding the difference in hours required/pricing between the two vendors.

Mr. Donhauser said that, in part, it is getting immensely harder for auditors to comply with federal single audit requirements; that it also depends on how prepared staff is in the municipality; that from his experience Eliot records are in very good shape.

Mr. Murphy asked why, with Smith & Associates, the last of the 5 years would be the most expensive year.

Mr. Lee said that he didn't know; that they didn't explain it.

Mr. Donhauser suggested that we should form a sub-committee of 2 people to follow up with the auditor periodically, which would let the SB know where in the audit timeline the auditor is and help address any issues more quickly.

It was agreed that that was a good idea.

6:21 PM Mr. Donhauser moved, second by Mr. Murphy, that the Select Board accept the audit bid of R_HR Smith and Company in the total amount of \$64,000 for five years.

DISCUSSION

Mr. Pomerleau said that he would not support this; that he was not at all satisfied with the answers we got and thinks it's time for a change.

Mr. Murphy suggested that the sub-committee, working with this vendor more frequently, would correct that; that they could become a better company and we could still save some money.

6:24 PM

Mr. Hughes said that he somewhat agrees with Mr. Pomerleau but he can't say he would approve somebody who is going to cost almost \$15,000 more; however, he's wondering if we shouldn't ask for some clarification as to why the great difference in hours on the Enterprise Funds and the single audit. He added that it seems to him that twice the hours spent in both those categories deserves an explanation as to why they think it should take so long before we make a move on changing auditors at this point; that we don't need to rush into it. He said that he would like an explanation of why they think they need to spend twice as much as the previous auditor and, maybe, could re-think their bid.

6:25 PM

Mr. Lee said that that would generally violate the idea of a bid; that the bid is sealed and, to go back to one vendor for a better price...

Mr. Hughes clarified that he wasn't asking for that; that he was asking for an explanation as to why they think so many hours would be required when, historically, we've seen less.

Mr. Donhauser asked, if they say they will give some X, Y, Z result for this number, will that change Mr. Hughes' mind.

Mr. Hughes said that he didn't know until he got the answer. He added that he appreciated what Mr. Donhauser was saying, and he understands it, but they are dotting the same I's and crossing the same T's as the other auditor and, yet, they are saying it will take twice as many hours to do it.

Mr. Lee said that he had no idea why they think this but he could certainly ask them.

DISCUSSION ENDED

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Murphy – Yes

Ms. Davis – No

Mr. Pomerleau – No

Mr. Hughes - No

Motion fails.

6:28 PM Mr. Pomerleau moved to award the audit contract to Smith & Associates, CPA for the amount of \$78,900.

There was no second and the motion fails.

Mr. Lee suggested the SB table it and he will find out what their thinking was behind the number of hours quoted in their bid; that he will put it back on the agenda in two weeks.

Mr. Donhauser said that, in the event he changes it and lowers the fee, how does that work.

Mr. Lee said that he can't allow him to do that.

6:29 PM Ms. Davis said that the other bidder has been rejected by the first motion.

Mr. Lee said that what he thinks Mr. Hughes is saying is that he could be convinced to go with R_HR depending on the poor quality of their (Smith & Associates) answer as to why they think it's going to take so long.

Mr. Hughes agreed; that he wasn't rejecting them but simply wants an answer from Smith & Associates.

There was discussion regarding a previous award made that included a provision for negotiations with the awarded bidder for changes in the estimates; that although that bidder was the lowest price, they came in over what we could afford and, so, we negotiated out items we could do ourselves; that that is different from this current bid.

Mr. Lee clarified that it sounds like we are going to table this for two weeks, reach out to Smith & Associates to say we are used to seeing fewer hours put into the audit and wondered why they think this will take so much longer, and try to get answers to Mr. Hughes' satisfaction.

Mr. Donhauser suggested Mr. Lee ask the other auditor why they can do it in so few hours.

The SB agreed.

6:32 PM 4) Aging-in-Place Talking Points

This is informational regarding the committee's goals and strategies.

There is an open position, with one applicant at this time, and the Town is accepting applications until April 5th; that the appointment of this position will be on the April 12th agenda.

6:38 PM 5) Public Hearing Notice for the CO/PH

Mr. Pomerleau moved, second by Mr. Murphy, that the Select Board approve the Municipal Officer's Notice of Citizen's Option Meeting and/or Public Hearing on Annual Town Meeting Budgetary Referenda.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Murphy – Yes Ms. Davis – Yes Mr. Pomerleau – Yes Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

6) Approval of Citizen's Option Agenda – Still in Progress

Mr. Lee said that, with this, this meeting can change the numbers that appear in LD1 on the ballot, so we go immediately to the departmental budgets. He added that the bond questions (26, 27, 28) were put in as informational, as the citizens cannot amend those. He clarified that a TIF amendment, land use ordinance change, etc. will not be part of the citizen's option meeting, either; that this is strictly monetary issues.

Mr. Murphy found an error in the warrant numbers.

Mr. Lee suggested the motion be amended to include the words "for questions four through twenty-eight."

6:44 PM Mr. Pomerleau moved, second by Mr. Murphy to amend the previous motion to make change in the warrant numbers, as amended this evening.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Murphy – Yes Ms. Davis – Yes Mr. Pomerleau – Yes Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

Mr. Murphy moved, second by Mr. Pomerleau, that the Select Board approve the proposed Citizen's Option Meeting and Public Hearing Agenda, to be held April 2, 2018 at Marshwood Middle School at 7PM.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Murphy – Yes Ms. Davis – Yes Mr. Pomerleau – Yes

Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

The SB signed the pertinent documents at this time.

6:49 PM 7) Approval of Warrants

Ms. Davis moved, second by Mr. Murphy, that the Select Board approve A/P Warrant #94 for \$92,078.14; A/P Warrant #96 for \$867,208.80.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Murphy – Yes Ms. Davis – Yes Mr. Pomerleau – Yes Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

K. New Business:

6:50 PM Ms. Davis said that we will backtrack to the minutes for March 12th and see if we have a motion to formalize our instruction to staff to segregate wage adjustment reserves from contingency and put it in its own reserve.

Mr. Pomerleau moved, second by Mr. Murphy, that the Select Board formalize our instruction to staff to segregate wage adjustment reserves from contingency and put it in its own reserve.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Murphy – Yes

Ms. Davis - Yes

Mr. Pomerleau - Yes

Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

L. Old Business:

6:51 PM 1) Timing of TIF Vote/Workshop(s)/Upcoming Meetings

Mr. Lee discussed the timeline and wanted to see if there was any feedback from the SB. He added that he doesn't know what more needs to be done except for one major issue and that is whether we do, or do not, include sewer on Route 236 as an option in the overall menu; that the last time we discussed this it was looking as though leaving it in, according to Mr. Hughes, might be a way to get more votes on it but he was still kind of pondering that; that that's all we have left to do except to review the document, itself. He added that he's hoping to have the document back from Attorney Fortin shortly, suggesting the 5th or 11th to review.

It was suggested to hold both dates for TIF workshop discussions, using the second date if needed.

Mr. Donhauser asked if the public would be allowed to speak at the TIF meetings in full and without interruption without being cut off by the Chair. He clarified that he wasn't trying to be insulting; that at the last meeting we had it became very contentious, the Chair said that the public was going to be allowed to speak and, when they started speaking, she abruptly cut the public portion of the meeting off and we adjourned; that he just wanted to make sure the public would be allowed to speak fully.

6:56 PM

Mr. Lee said that all meetings include an opportunity for public comment; that what he thinks Mr. Donhauser is discussing is a public hearing, which doesn't require a whole lot from the SB but just to listen to what people are saying.

Mr. Donhauser said that he stands corrected, then; that he was out of place.

Mr. Lee said that, in a workshop, is generally where you most exclude people's input because it's just for the five of you to have discussions. He added that the SB has to agree internally before you give it out to them to give feedback to you.

He said that, to that point, do we use April 5th as a public hearing and, then, the 11th as a workshop, or vice-versa.

6:57 PM Mr. Donhauser said that, if it's a workshop, the public will not be allowed to speak.

Mr. Lee clarified that what the Chair will usually do is state that this is a workshop for the SB and ask the public to keep their comments very brief; that, also in a few days, there will be a public hearing where the SB won't say anything and it will be the public's time to talk as much as they like.

Mr. Donhauser thanked him for the clarification.

There was discussion regarding that the 5th would be for reconciliation of warrant numbers; that that could be done first because it would be brief and that, then, it could be a workshop discussion around the logistics of the TIF development proposal.

Mr. Donhauser asked if there hadn't been discussion on whether to have this on the June or November ballot.

6:59 PM Mr. Lee said yes but he is proceeding as though it is moving for June but we have yet to vote on that.

Mr. Donhauser said that, in the document being discussed, there is very little, if any, mention of leverage, meaning that we take the money that we have on-hand and actually use that as collateral to borrow more money and, therefore, we can expand beyond the number of projects on the menu and open it up to many projects, using the cashflow from these income-producing properties that are in the TIF District to pay the debt service. He added that it appears to him that we could do a number of things; that we could do projects that are specifically directed into a village district as well as on Route 236. He said that that begs the question of, if you eliminate the potential to do things on Route 236, then you're arbitrarily taking things out; that that is why he sort of a proponent for putting things on the menu; that everyone says it's only a menu and so let's put it on the menu – the right to extend sewer onto Route 236. He said that in one meeting between him, Mr. Pomerleau, and Mr. Lee, Mr. Pomerleau acquiesced, after a good discussion, to removing the prohibition of sewer on Route 236 from the document, asking Mr. Pomerleau to correct him if he was wrong; that what didn't transpire was that we would allow to have sewer on Route 236. He added that he is attempting, as much as possible, to prevent, he feels, certain failure if we do this in June because there are many questions about what this document can evolve into; that one specific question he was asked today by 2 people was if you spend money on a project in the TIF District, whether it be a village district or an

area along the commercial/industrial zone (Route 236), is there an income return on the expenditure of those TIF Funds; in other words, you build a building and it has a function; is there an income stream coming back or is it merely an expense stream; that in the event that there's no income stream, or very little, then who is putting up the money to make that expense happen; is the Town going to maintain the personnel to operate that building. He said that he thinks it's a grand idea and is not opposed but he thinks these are questions that need to be discussed openly, with the public, and let everyone know that we can do a lot more than we think we can if you use leverage; that we also need to tell the public that, if we build 'this' type of building, we're going to have to have an operating budget to operate it; that with 'this' type of infrastructure or building, it's going to have an income stream that will actually support itself. He said that those are a couple of reasons he thinks we should delay beyond June to educate the voters; that he hopes not but he thinks we are going to have certain failure if we try to rush this through because there are so many people that are going to think we're not disclosing everything to them. He added that he thought we should have three-ways-to-Sunday letting the people have the ability to say what they would like to do, listen to the public, and not tell them what we want to do.

7:05 PM

Mr. Pomerleau said that, just to clarify what we did and did not agree to, at the first meeting there was no question that Route 236 sewer was not going to be in the amended plan; that at the second meeting we went through a number of items that he acquiesced to remove – the two items around Route 236 sewer were specific menu items regarding sewer along the river where there was a notation that says "this excludes sewer on Route 236" and he agreed to have those statements removed, which was not an agreement to include Route 236 in the menu.

Mr. Donhauser agreed with Mr. Pomerleau.

Mr. Lee agreed it was the notation we got rid of; that we didn't agree whether June was a good idea or November was a better idea and there was no agreement to add Route 236 but just to remove the notation.

7:06 PM

Mr. Pomerleau said that, regarding leverage, you are talking about borrowing money and, no matter how much you get or how much you're going to spend, you have to have the projected revenue to pay for it. He added that the bottom line is what's the projected revenue and, clearly, there's not enough money to do Route 236 sewer and anything else Additionally, he said flushing out these good questions and details about childcare, and income, etc.; absolutely good questions is where you use available resources, planners, consultants, whatever; that once you have a menu plan to flush out those precise details, and flush out those precise details, the SB makes a decision as to what project to move forward with,

what will work and what will not, what needs to be done to implement, and then it goes to the voters for funding.

7:08 PM Mr. Donhauser said that he didn't disagree.

Mr. Hughes asked if we were having our April 5th workshop already.

Mr. Lee said that he just wanted to know if we wanted to plan a workshop on April 5th and move up the reconciliation meeting and plan a public hearing for the 11th; that, perhaps tonight, the one thing that should be decided is whether we are going ahead in June, or not.

Mr. Pomerleau moved, second by Mr. Hughes, that the Select Board proceed with a June vote for an amended TIF plan.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - No

Mr. Murphy - No

Ms. Davis - Yes

Mr. Pomerleau - Yes

Mr. Hughes - Yes

Motion passes on a vote of 3-2.

The discussion was around having a workshop, a public hearing, and then a workshop, then finalize. Mr. Lee will check with the Town Clerk and get right back to SB members as to the drop-dead date.

Ms. Davis said that she would like to look at the April 5th agenda after the Citizen's Option Meeting for the ability to include the Budget Committee later in the meeting if changes are made.

7:13 PM 2) Administration – Award of Fabian Drake Award at Citizen's Option Meeting

Mr. Lee said that the Town Clerk was okay with the presentation of the Fabyan Drake Award at the beginning of the Citizen's Option Meeting

7:14 PM 3) Inclement Weather Closure Policy (Revised)

Mr. Lee said that he had some feedback today from Chief Muzeroll that he would like to incorporate – that it could be a weather event or a terrorist threat, for example, so the name may not be appropriate – that it might be that we may still

want to pause on this. He added that, if the SB doesn't mind, he is going to incorporate Chief Muzeroll's comments, suggesting that the SB table this.

The SB agreed to table this.

7:15 PM 4) Amended Mooring Fees (Tabled)

Mr. Lee said that he didn't know if the SB wanted to adopt those fees so that he could begin to get the word out for the following season, for July 1st; that it would be implemented for April/May 2019.

Mr. Pomerleau moved, second by Mr. Murphy, that the Select Board approve the Town Manager's suggested changes to the mooring fees for an effective date of July 1, 2018.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Murphy - Yes

Ms. Davis - Yes

Mr. Pomerleau - Yes

Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

M. Selectmen's Report:

There were no Selectmen's reports tonight.

N. Committee Vacancy Report

7:18 PM

Mr. Lee said that he mentioned the opening on the Aging-in-place Committee; that we are continuing to look for people interested in science and environment for the Clean Waters Committee we are trying to form; that we are looking for an alternate on the PB, as well.

O. Executive Session

7:20 PM

Ms. Davis said that, before we propose an executive session regarding the Ad Hoc Ethics Committee, she would like to put before the SB an option to discuss our Town Manager's evaluation; that some of the Selectmen wanted an opportunity to speak with the Town Manager and she put it on tonight's agenda so that they could, if they still wished, or we can move it to another night. She added that, if everything was said in their evaluations, then we can let this go.

Mr. Lee said that, for him, as he read through the goals statements and pros and cons of the evaluations, he felt like he really understood it and where each of the SB members are with their priorities; that he thought it was a fair evaluation and had no real qualms; that he's okay with it if the SB is okay with it. He added that it was still on his list on preparing goals and objectives for the coming year.

7:23 PM Ms. Davis said that we should monitor the goals quarterly or, at least, bi-annually.

Mr. Lee agreed he would like to see that, as well; that he thinks a lot of good gets forgotten during the year; that the other thing he would say that, in particular with Town Managers when you do an evaluation, you need to think about all the stuff that did not happen -lawsuits, liability – that one of the things that we do is we are risk managers and try to avoid bad things.

7:25 PM Mr. Pomerleau moved, second by Mr. Hughes, that the Select Board enter into executive session as allowed by 1 M.R.S.A. §405.A. Personnel issues specifically to deal with and deliberate on recommendations of the Ad Hoc Ethics Committee.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Murphy – Yes Ms. Davis – Yes Mr. Pomerleau – Yes

Mr. Hughes - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

8:09 PM Out of executive session. There was no action taken.

P. Adjourn

There was a motion and second to adjourn the meeting at 8:10 PM.

VOTE 5-0 Chair votes in the affirmative

Approved: June 28, 2018 S: /Mr. Richard Donhauser, Secretary