Quorum noted

5:30 PM: Meeting called to order by Chairperson Donhauser.

Roll Call: Mr. Donhauser, Mr. Widi, Ms. Dow, Mr. Shapleigh, and Mr. Trott.

A. Pledge of Allegiance recited

- B. Public Comment: There was none.
- C. Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting(s)
- 5:31 PM Motion by Mr. Widi second by Trott, to approve the minutes of August 10, 2023, as written.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow – Abstained (not present)

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Vote 4-0-1 to approve motion.

D. Public Hearing:

5:32 PM 1. Sweet Dirt #AMF1166 License Renewal (Manufacturing)

This is for a renewal of a Tier IV Marijuana Manufacturing License (#AMF1166) for Sweet Dirt 2, LLC, located at 505 Harold L. Dow Highway. Notice has been published and delivered to abutters.

Mr. Sullivan said that everything was submitted properly. We have all documentation and all fees have been collected.

There were no public comments.

5:33 Public Hearing closed.

Mr. Donhauser moved, second by Ms. Dow, that the Eliot Select Board approve a Tier IV Marijuana Manufacturing License renewal (\$AMF1166)

for Sweet Dirt 2, LLC located at 505 Harold L. Dow Highway, with a renewal date of August 24, 2024.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

E. Department Head/Committee Reports

5:34 PM 1. Town Manager's Report

Mr. Sullivan said that he was out for a couple of days and was unable to get my report in writing. Schools open next week so we ask that people be careful on the roads. We have made the schools aware of the road construction in Eliot. Good news that the major pipe installation along Route 236 will be finished next week. They will be working through the night. Work on Beech Road will continue during the day. Paving is scheduled to be complete by October 15th. In my report will be positive discussion of our FY22 audit. We've had outreach with the ME DEP, who is going to be working with farmers in Eliot on some issues around PFAS and how to access funds necessary to address those issues.

Mr. Donhauser said that we will approve the report next meeting, once it is received in writing.

F. Board and Committee Appointments/Resignation:

5:38 PM 1. June Hamel to Aging-in-Place (Term ending June 30, 2024).

Mr. Donhauser moved, second by Ms. Dow, that the Eliot Select Board appoint June Hamel to the Eliot Aging-in-Place Committee, for a term ending June 30, 2024.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

Mr. Sullivan reminded the SB that she did interview with you back in May.

G. New Business:

5:39 PM 1. Tax Collector Settlement/Recommittment

Ms. (Brenda) Harvey is retiring as the tax collector and this action is to remove all responsibility for outstanding taxes for the last five years (\$17,729.19) and make it the responsibility of the new tac collector – Wendy Rawski.

Mr. Widi moved, second by Mr. Trott, that the Eliot Select Board release Brenda Harvey of all responsibility as Tax Collector for the Town of Eliot and commit to the present Tax Collector, Wendy Rawski, the oversight and responsibility of the Town of Eliot Tax Commitment of uncollected taxes for the estates and persons of the Town of Eliot as of this date in the amount of \$17,729.19.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Widi – Yes Ms. Dow – Yes Mr. Shapleigh – Yes Mr. Cabot - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

5:41 PM 2. Wm. Fogg Library Request to adjust ARPA Grant (\$25,000)

This is to adjust a previous issue discussed by the SB for the ARPA Grant funds to William Fogg Library for roof repairs. It was initially for the building fund so somewhat related.

Mr. Donhauser moved, second by Ms. Dow, that the Eliot Select Board approve \$25,000 of ARPA Grant funds, previously granted to William Fogg Library, for the purpose of roof repairs and/or replacement. These funds will be released to the recipient once all grant requirements are met.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Widi – Yes Ms. Dow – Yes Mr. Shapleigh – Yes Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

5:44 PM 3. Acceptance of Annual Sewer Budget

This is new. It is a sewer enterprise budget recommended by our auditor and an annual sewer budget has been formulated.

Mr. (Gene) Wypyski, Creek Crossing, speaking as a citizen, said that we voted on this in June. This was an item that the Budget Committee reviewed as part of the package.

Mr. Sullivan explained that one of the complications is that the sewer budget is not really Town budget, it is an enterprise budget. The rate payers are a different class than the taxpayers. Because you don't have a sewer commission in Town, the default sewer commission is the SB. The auditors have asked that the SB vote on that annual budget.

Mr. Wypyski asked if this enterprise budget is not appropriate for the Budget Committee to weigh in on and make a recommendation to the voter.

Mr. Sullivan clarified that the Budget Committee only has responsibility for the general fund budget. This isn't part of the general fund budget. It's a sewer enterprise budget for the rate payers, not the taxpayers, which is the body of citizens the Budget Committee represents. Town attorneys reviewed and did not see any problems.

Mr. Donhauser moved, second by Mr. Trott, that the Eliot Select Board accept the annual Sewer Enterprise Budget, as presented.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Widi – Yes Ms. Dow – Yes Mr. Shapleigh – Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

H. Old Business:

5:48 PM 1. Fire Department Engine #4 request, \$50,000 from FD Reserve

Mr. Donhauser, moved, second by Mr. Widi, that the Eliot Select Board approve expenditure of \$50,000 from the Fire Department Reserve for the purpose of a refundable deposit for the building of a fire engine to replace Engine #4 and authorize the Town Manager to sign a sales & purchase agreement with provisions, as stated. This will allow the Town of Eliot to secure a price and place us in the construction schedule.

DISCUSSION

Mr. Sullivan said that we have previously discussed the condition of Engine #4 (23 years old). Once we have this locked in, it's going to take about 800 days to build the new fire truck, a little bit over two years out from the date we sign the purchase & sales agreement. This action tonight locks the price in. The Chief mentioned that the price has gone up from about \$840,000 to about \$990,000, so we want to lock the price in as soon as we can. This \$50,000 would be refundable if, in the fall, the Town Meeting does not approve the \$500,000 that's being requested from unassigned funds to put towards that fire truck. We'll then propose to the SB to have the balance secured in a lease, as we did with the previous truck, and that arrangement is working well.

Lieutenant Robinson said that it is a 2002. It is functioning but it's getting old, having some major problems, and parts are hard to find. It's a pumper truck, which carries water.

Mr. Sullivan said that, if the article passes in the fall, the \$50,000 would be rolled into the price. Costs are growing at 9% a year and this is a financially-sound measure to take. The Fire Department Reserve has \$280,000 and, other than the \$50,000, there is no intent to use any more of the reserve for this project. There might be some outfitting the Chief may ask for later. Using this method, other than the eventual lease, there is no impact with either one of these appropriations on the taxpayer.

DISCUSSION ENDED

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

5:55 PM 2. Proposed Shooting Range License

Mr. Donhauser said that we have formulated an ordinance that will possibly go before the voters in November. It was created by using the existing gun range bylaws and incorporating that into this draft ordinance, with little change. This is not only for the existing gun range but for future gun ranges because we have to have some control over what goes on in the Town

Mr. Trott asked about a 3-acre minimum without specifying locations in Town. Concern was for the Village District.

Mr. Sullivan said that it could be sent back to the PB to see if they would like to opine on that.

Mr. Trott explained that, if we look at shooting ranges as any other business and commercial operation, we don't allow a lot of things to happen in certain areas of Town; that it should be something we look at for the future that there is no restriction on where it might be located.

5:58 PM

Mr. Sullivan commented that, if you have a license in place, it would be up to the SB to deny a license for reasonable standards. It may be too close to a house, etc. so there are other limitations.

Mr. Brubaker, Planner, said that currently our land use table doesn't have any entry for gun ranges. Typically when that happens, an applicant has to show how their use is similar to another row in the land use table. The PB would review it like that. If the community was interested in a future ordinance amendment, we could change the land use table to add gun ranges and then determine which zoning districts where it would be permitted or not permitted. There would be grandfathering rights to existing uses. This is something I could pass along to the PB, if there is interest from the SB. I think it is good to point out there is a difference between land use approvals and licensing. Land use approvals typically

run with the land and are in place forever or until there is some kind of change. Licensing typically gets renewed at a time certain, like in a year.

6:02 PM

Mr. Trott said that, if it is not in our land use table by our ordinance, it can't exist. Businesses can be licensed but, if it's not in the land use table, they can't do it anyway. So, maybe that's something we may want to do. Even though we're doing licensing for these businesses or operations, if it's in the land use table clarifying where it can be, we can still make that decision as the SB whether to grant a license. Then you have an ordinance that actually backs it.

Mr. Brubaker said the best thing is when the land use table is crystal clear on a use. I will bring this up to the PB. There are two aspects of zoning. One is actual allowability by zone, which would mean that even if a use that is perfectly respectful of abutting properties wants to go somewhere, if the zoning prohibits it, then the use wouldn't be able to locate there without a variance. Secondly, if a use is allowed in zoning if they get their PB approval and they open, no matter what the use is, there is still a set of performance standards such as noise, glare, etc. If they violate those, they're liable to being issued a violation by our Code Enforcement Officer (CEO).

Mr. Shapleigh asked what you would use to say you can have it 'here' but you cannot have it 'here'.

6:06 PM

Mr. Brubaker said that it starts with the land use table. Zoning is a police power, as it's called in land use law terms. It can limit uses in certain areas in Town; that part of the logic behind it is appropriate uses for appropriate locations in terms of traffic, and so forth. Even if a use is allowed when it goes before the PB, if the PB has concerns about traffic, noise, etc., the PB can ask for information from the applicant, and if they believe the performance standard hasn't been satisfied, that would be potential grounds to disapprove an application.

Mr. Donhauser said that I want people to understand that the existing gun range has a right to exist but we also feel, as a SB, that we have to have some control over future gun ranges and what we're doing pretty much is accepting what the existing gun range, the level it is at the moment. But if there's a major change in that gun range, that allows us to review through this ordinance. It allows the SB some control over what's going on in the municipality. WE aren't trying to prohibit the current gun range from doing what you're doing. We're just trying to make sure that everyone is a good neighbor. More importantly, we want to control the entire Town, not just the gun range that exists off of Goodwin Road. He asked if there was anyone from the public who would like to speak.

6:10 PM

Mr. Chenard that I'm the President of the Southern Maine Fishing & Gun Club and President of the range out on Slate Hill Road. He clarified that, according to Maine State law, it is on future gun ranges that it may be able to affect how they are built, how they're structured, and how they're organized, and not retroactive on our range.

Mr. Donhauser said that that is correct., with the caveat that, if you significantly change what you're doing, then it does apply.

Mr. Chenard said that that's fine as long as it's in accordance with Maine State law. One thing I saw in the draft that I wanted to raise concerns for was that it says that participants must be 18. That drastically limits our youth in being able to participate, go out to our range and safely shoot, learn to shoot, later on to hunt. A tandem license is in accordance with State law.

Mr. Donhauser asked him what he would suggest.

6:12 PM

Mr. Chenard suggested getting rid of the "must be 18 years old to participate". I think it needs a further definition of what ';participant' means.

Mr. Donhauser said that that was a good observation. I wouldn't be opposed if the person is supervised or with an adult.

Mr. Chenard agreed. And Junior Hunters are. That is the law. We, as a range, operate that way regardless. I just wanted to clarify that in order to shoot on the range, a person didn't have to be 18 years old.

Mr. Widi asked if we add "or supervised by an adult", would that satisfy your concern. ("Under supervision")

Mr. Chenard read the Junior Licensing requirements: Hunters from ages 10 to 15 years of age must be in the presence of a supervisor.

6:14 PM

Mr. Sullivan suggested "over 10 years old up to 18 years old must be supervised by a member". If you are a guest, you always have a member with you, according to your rules.

Mr. Chenard said that you can get your permit to hunt, if you are a Junior Hunter, at age 17. So, I would lean more towards just being a member and I don't think there's an age limitation.

Mr. Sullivan said that they don't have to be a member, just supervised by a member with them.

6:16 PM

Mr. Chenard said that we just approved \$7,000 worth of changes to our range to help get some modifications done to help reduce the noise. We want to be good members of our community. We are lowering the height of our range and have future plan to build a backstop.

Mr. (Vernon) Lewis, Slate Hill Road, said I have lived there since 1975 and we have very few complaints about the gun club. Mr. Holmes and I maintain the road. They've been really good people. They've got a gate at the end of the property to get in.

Mr. (Ray) Neufeld, Riverside Avenue, discussed his support of people under 18 being able to shoot at the range. He also wondered about no tracers, no steel bullets, no buckshot is allowed.

Mr. Sullivan said that that was taken from your rules.

Mr. Neufeld said that, from range to range, you may find differences in their own rules for their purposes. I think, in some ways, you're painting yourselves into a corner with some of these rules that control or prohibit trap or skeet.

6:20 PM

Mr. Donhauser said that we've tried to set just a basic standard and, then, there will be changes in the future.

Mr. (Phil) Swanson, Goodwin Road, said, in terms of land use and how it interacts with this ordinance, where are the noise concerns here. Would noise be addressed in this ordinance or land use.

Mr. Trott said that we have a separate noise ordinance for decibels, etc, that applies to everything.

Mr. Swanson asked if the gun range currently complies with the noise ordinance.

Mr. Trott did not know. During PB approval to develop a new range, noise would be one of the things they would consider. With existing ranges, there is a process with the CEO and/or Police to deal with noise issues.

6:22 PM

Mr. Swanson said that I just imagine that, if I was a resident in a different location and a new range was established, the noise level currently out of the range and they are graciously addressing, I think would upset residents regardless of whether it was in the Village or anywhere in Rural Eliot. I think it would be pertinent to add something to address that specifically in the ordinance. What that does is it gives any future ranges the foresight to develop structures to keep the

noise down. It is doable and there are ranges that are successful in that. I would urge the SB to consider decibel limits at the property lines of the range. The other would be to take a second look at hours of operation. That is another thing where, if I was resident in Eliot and a new range was established, having little restriction on the hours of operation could be frustrating. I understand that everyone should have access to the range but there is also consideration, especially if noise is not addressed properly, where the hours of operation become particularly impactful. Is it necessary that it is 365.

6:24 PM

Mr. Trott said, again, during the process of permitting through the PB, the abutters and other people are invited to make comments so that comments can be addressed as far as hours so that, through licensing, we could adjust those for any future stuff.

Mr. Swanson urged the SB to consider that for the future, whether that's a fight you want to have every time this comes up in the future and how residents get notified of these potential changes. Do they have the option to weigh in on what's happening in their community. Going back to my experience in our neighborhood, the three concerns we have are safety, noise, hours of operation, and that's all we really care about. If it wasn't audibly impactful all the time, I don't think many of the neighbors would raise this.

6:27 PM

Mr. Trott said that I think this is a great starting point and I think, down the line, more of this will be addressed. Feel free to give the Town Manager any other comments about changes you would like to see down the line and things will be addressed.

Ms. Bennett said that, perhaps, you could consider not allowing the range to operate on Sundays. I was a long-time neighbor to the South Berwick Maine Rod & Gun Club. An excellent organization and I had no problems with them. But I really did appreciate the fact that, on Sundays, they didn't shoot, which also coincides with hunting regulations, so that all Mainers, no matter where you live, have the opportunity to not hear gun fire on Sundays.

6:29 PM

Mr. Sullivan said that I was hoping the SB would amend to make a motion to 'allow persons between the ages of 10 and 18 to use the gun range when supervised by a member'.

Mr. Chenard said that I don't think setting a limit of 10 is good because I know I've taken my 5- and 6-year-old out. So, as long as they are shooting with being supervised. The State says that they have to obtain a Junior License between the ages of 10 and 15. It doesn't say they can't shoot. The Junior License is for hunting.

6:30 PM

Mr. Sullivan said, if the SB would allow an amendment that says 'persons under 18 years old are allowed to shoot when supervised by a member'.

Mr. Trott moved, second by Mr. Widi, that the Eliot Select Board amends Article #11, Section 13, Gun Range License Ordinance, to strike "All participants must be 18 years of age." and replace with "persons under 18 years old are allowed to use the range when supervised by a member".

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

6:33 PM

Mr. Donhauser moved, second by Ms. Dow, that the Eliot Select Board accept Article #11, to be placed on the Town Meeting Referendum on November 7th, 2023 Election Ballot and allow adjustments to the numbering of the legal language consistent with this vote. "Shall an Ordinance Entitled "Shooting Range License Ordinance" be Enacted. A copy of the ordinance will be available on the Town website and at the Town Clerk's Office.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

6:35 PM

3. November Warrant Articles

Article #12:

Mr. Sullivan said that it was brought to our attention that the SB actually has the authority to create, through State law, parking regulations. So, this article would

be unnecessary. Counsel thought this would be very confusing and we should not put this forward.

Ms. Dow moved, second by Mr. Widi, that the Eliot Select Board give Article #12, "Chapter 46 Parking Regulations" leave to withdraw.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

6:37 PM The SB reviewed Articles #1 through #10:

- ➤ Article #1 elects the moderator
- ➤ Article #2 New Fire Department vehicle
- > Article #3 Funds to redevelop/repair Murray-Rowe Park
- > Article #4 Funds to Land Bank Trust
- > Article #5 Funds to General Assistance budget, correcting shortfall
- > Article #6 Land Use Grant Match Reserve Fund
- ➤ Article #7 Funds to Police Department Reserve (Vehicle), replacement of cruiser/equipment/boat Harbor Master
- > Article #8 Fund Senior Tax Abatement program
- > Article #9 Funds to Town Hall/ Community Center Bond Reserve
- Article #10 To approve capital projects for expansion/renovation of Town Hall/Community Center/ repairs & improvements to Police Department Station Bond Article. All other articles from unappropriated surplus.

6:48 PM

Ms. Dow moved, second by Mr. Widi, that the Eliot Select Board accept Articles #1, #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, #8, #9, and #10 to be placed on the Town Meeting Referendum for the November 7, 2023 Election ballot and allow adjustments to numbering and/or legal language consistent with this vote.

DISCUSSION

Mr. Donhauser said that these would be reviewed by the Budget Committee.

Mr. Sullivan said yes. It's State law that, if you have a Budget Committee and SB, they both have to make a recommendation. I'm taking it tonight that your vote is recommending the first 10 articles.

Mr. Donhauser said yes.

DISCUSSION ENDED

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser – Yes Mr. Widi – Yes Ms. Dow – Yes Mr. Shapleigh – Yes Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

6:49 PM

Mr. Sullivan said that I have reached out to the Chair to inform them and apologize. I asked several weeks ago from our counsel. I didn't get an answer until earlier this week that any appropriation (different from budget) must give a recommendation from both the Budget Committee and SB in the State of Maine.

Mr. Donhauser said, just for the record, I'm looking at the audited financial statement, as of June 30, 2022. The unrestricted fund balance, as of that date, is \$6,580, 639. That's a huge, huge fund balance. What we're trying to do is recapture some of that without causing the taxpayer to pay additional taxes. That is what we've done with these articles today.

6:51 PM

Mr. Sullivan agreed that that was a good analogy. I also think that you are making an investment in the community for things that are needed and for things that are rising in cost at a significant pace.

Mr. Donhauser said, for the record, that the fund balance in 2021 was \$5,551,000. It increased to \$6,580,000, almost a million dollars. So, I think this is the right move that our SB is taking.

Additional Articles:

Mr. Sullivan said that we have already discussed Article #11 and given Article #12 leave to withdraw:

- ➤ Article #12 (was #13) (Article 3 Town Meetings) to amend Article #3 of the Eliot Code of Ordinance
 - Section 2.74 to enhance the Annual Town Meeting process and, furthermore, to clarify, classify, and consolidate the number and makeup of appropriation articles to be voted by referendum ballot

or take any action thereto. This brings 24 articles down to 9 articles.

o Section 2.75 Citizen's Option meeting would be stricken.

 Section 2.76 Vote on Budget reformed. Make an adjustment in the way proposed to allow State law to prevail.

 Section 2.77 Form of Ballot changed in relation to draft Section 2.74.

7:00 PM

Mr. Wypyski said that this is a blockbuster of an amendment of an article. Speaking as a citizen, I think it's great. Speaking as a member of the Budget Committee, there's going to be a lot of heartburn. There may be a little re-training involved with our people in Town government.

Mr. Donhauser said that, if there is a Citizen's Option, voters would vote 'yes' or 'no'.

Mr. Sullivan agreed. For these articles, voters vote 'yes' or 'no' on recommendations from the Budget Committee, the SB, and Citizens Petition. They can disagree with the Budget Committee and SB and say 'no', if they choose to do that because there really isn't any need, in the end, for a Citizen's Option. There would be 'no of the above'.

7:03 PM

There was discussion regarding removing the Citizen's Option Meeting; whether the voters understand this will happen. It was understood that they didn't happen very often and not happened very often since enactment. One comment was that, just because something wasn't used often, that doesn't mean it should be taken away.

Mr. Sullivan said that the other thing is that, when you have a Citizen's Option, it adds several levels of complexity to the process of getting a ballot. People can seek a Citizen's Petition to change government but to change the budget. As I think the Vice Chair pointed out, no other community is using this method. Not that we have to be like every other community but people have a voice. If they don't want a particular budget, they should vote against it, whatever the Budget Committee or SB says.

7:13 PM

Mr. Widi moved, second by Mr. Trott, that the Eliot Select Board accept Article #12 to be placed on the Town Meeting Referendum for the November 7, 2023 Election ballot and allow adjustments to numbering and/or legal language consistent with this vote.
Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

7:14 PM Article # 13, 14, 15:

Mr. Sullivan said that these articles are the Grocery Store, Park N Ride, and Housing amendments by the Planning Board, which the Planner discussed earlier. The PB approved these articles in unanimous votes.

Mr. Widi moved, second by Ms. Dow, that the Eliot Select Board accept Articles #13, #14, and #15 to be placed on the Town Meeting Referendum for the November 7, 2023 Election ballot and allow adjustments to numbering and/or legal language consistent with this vote.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

Mr. Sullivan thanked the Planner and all the PB for their exhaustive work on these ordinances.

I. Approval of Warrant(s):

7:16 PM

Mr. Donhauser moved, second by Ms. Dow, that the Select Board approve Payroll Warrant #14 in the amount of \$52,100.13, dated September 9, 2023.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

7:17 PM

Mr. Donhauser moved, second by Ms. Dow, that the Select Board approve A/P Warrant #15 in the amount of \$1,103,436.41, dated September 10, 2023.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott - Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

J. Adjourn

Mr. Donhauser moved, second by Ms. Dow, that the Select Board adjourn.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Donhauser - Yes

Mr. Widi - Yes

Ms. Dow - Yes

Mr. Shapleigh - Yes

Mr. Trott- Yes

Unanimous vote to approve motion.

The meeting adjourned at 7:18 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Ellen Lemire, Recording Secretary

Lauren Dow, Secretary

Date approved: