

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30 PM

Quorum noted

6:30 PM: Meeting called to order by Chairman Fernald.

Roll Call: Mr. Fernald, Mr. Moynahan, Ms. Place, Mr. Murphy, and Mr. Dunkelberger.

Pledge of Allegiance recited

Moment of Silence observed

Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting(s)

6:31 PM Motion by Ms. Place, seconded by Mr. Moynahan, to approve the minutes of May 10, 2012, as amended.

VOTE

3-0

Chair concurs

6:32 PM Motion by Ms. Place, seconded by Mr. Dunkelberger, to approve the minutes of June 7, 2012, as written.

VOTE

3-0

Chair concurs

Public Comment:

6:33 PM There was no one who wished to speak.

Department Head Reports:

6:34 PM Mr. Moulton discussed storm water GIS mapping. He explained that with the MeDEP Permit Year 4 the Town was required to map some of the closed and open water system. He added that the estimated cost was \$1,000 and would be utilized from the Consulting Reserve Fund. He asked if it was possible to move the storm water portion of the consulting fee into his budget.

Mr. Blanchette said that that was not possible for this year but possible next year.

Mr. Moynahan moved, second by Ms. Place, to allow the Public Works Director to expend \$1,000 from the Consulting Reserve Fund for storm water mapping work, as requested.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

Mr. Fernald signed the contract at this time.

New Business (Correspondence List):

6:37 PM

#1

TO : Board of Selectmen

FROM : Joel Moulton, Public Works Director

REF : Sewer Manhole rehab project bid review and approval

Mr. Moulton said that they had completed the RFP bids for the sewer manhole rehabilitation and the lowest bidder was Eastern Pipe Services of Bow, New Hampshire, with a base bid of \$126,000. He added that the entire project would cost \$162,500 and would include a construction contingency and construction phase engineering oversight and review. He said that, if this was approved, he was looking to fund the project from the Sewer Reserve Account, which had an estimated balance of \$215,000 and would take the balance down to \$52,500 with an overage deposit of about \$20,000, for a total balance being \$72,500 once that overage was put back in. He added that the annual operating maintenance costs of the pump stations averaged out to \$25,291.60 over the course of five years. Mr. Moulton said that the rehabilitation of the manholes was critical because it would affect the integrity of the road should a structure ultimately fail and, if the Board did not want to fund this project due to funding concerns, he requested an alternative source (bond) be investigated in the very near future, as this project could

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

not be supported by TIF revenues. He added that he and the SC would be looking at the sewer rates to make sure the rates were in-line with ongoing maintenance needs and issues. He said that he was looking for a discussion with the Board to see what they wanted to do and how they wanted to handle this.

Mr. Dunkelberger asked Mr. Moulton to explain the overage deposit.

Mr. Moulton explained that Ms. Spinney went in every year and extracted \$7.50 per sewer user, which added up to \$20,000 overall and goes back into the reserve fund.

Mr. Moynahan asked what was a comfortable level in that reserve account.

Mr. Moulton said that they never really established one, that they have had discussions about that and he thought a number that was originally discussed was around \$100,000.

Mr. Moynahan asked Mr. Blanchette if there was a history of what that balance looked like over a five-year period.

Mr. Blanchette said that he thought that the history was that, once they got up over \$100,000, they hadn't used a lot out of that account so it has basically always been over \$100,000.

Mr. Dunkelberger moved, second by Mr. Murphy, that the Board of Selectmen award a contract to Eastern Pipe Services of Bow, New Hampshire for \$126,000, with an entire project cost of \$162,500 for manhole rebuilding.

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Moynahan said that they needed to clarify the motion, as there were two separate contracts – one for the engineer and one for the actual contract.

Mr. Moulton clarified that he believed the base bid of the contractor, Eastern Pipe, was \$126,000, with the balance of the \$162,500 as the overall cost in the project administration.

Mr. Moulton agreed.

VOTE
4-0
Chair concurs

Mr. Moynahan asked if, with this contractor, there was proof of insurance and warranty language guarantees and that sort of thing.

Mr. Moulton said that it was all in the contract.

Mr. Moynahan clarified that he did not see anything about warranties or guarantees, so when signing a contract, they should make sure those two areas were very clear.

Mr. Moulton agreed.

#2
6:43 PM

TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : Michael and Susan Moynahan
REF : Property designation

Mr. Fernald explained that this was a request to have the Moynahan property removed from the resource protection district and reverted back to the stream and wetlands designation and included information regarding the Town's responsibility for proper notification to landowners when zoning changes were made.

Mr. Moynahan recused himself and asked if he should step down.

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

Mr. Fernald said that that would not be necessary.

Mr. Dunkelberger asked if they had any idea of whom this might apply to, other than the Moynahans.

Mr. Murphy said that this went back several years to the PB and the State, which sends out these things for towns to do without really letting them know that they really needed to do these, they learn about it later and it slowly seeped in what they had to do. He added that it was his understanding that there would be other citizens of the Town whose properties were involved and suggested they get some legal advice as to how to properly do this. He said that the State law was a bit complicated and it wasn't clear what the Town must do or what it may do under these circumstances as far as he knew. Mr. Murphy reiterated that it was his understanding that there certainly would be others besides the Moynahan's that would be affected as the general map was adopted for the whole Town must include a number of more lots.

Mr. Fernald clarified that Mr. Murphy was suggesting they talk with legal first and then to the PB.

Mr. Murphy said that he thought they could start with the PB and ask them what they had and what were their maps and how many were involved because he thought that when they went to legal the Board would want to give them some idea of what the size was and how many people were involved. He added that, on the other hand, they may already know all about it and what must be done.

Mr. Dunkelberger said that he didn't think they needed to go to the PB, adding that getting a legal opinion to reaffirm that what Maine DEP was saying was correct – he would like to get a second opinion to deal with this one case and that would probably then answer all the other questions with regard to any other landowners.

Ms. Place read: *Not to jump to conclusions here, but if the Town did not properly notify affected landowners according to the statutory requirement then it is our opinion that the Town should not apply the RP District requirements on such properties. If this is the case then the zoning should revert back to the prior Town zoning district (on the prior zoning map), which was both Stream and Wetlands Protection District and Limited Residential District.* She said that she realized this letter addressed the Moynahan property but they certainly didn't know how many other people would come forward.

Mr. Fernald asked if they would be better off to get some legal advice on this issue before it was moved forward.

Ms. Place agreed that that was probably a good idea.

It was the consensus of the Board to seek legal advice before moving this issue forward. Mr. Fernald asked Mr. Blanchette to seek legal advice and bring that to the next meeting.

#3
6:47 PM

TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : County of York
REF : Outside Agencies funding and note from Town of Wells

This was regarding support of funding outside agencies with the County budget versus doing this individually at the municipal (town) level.

Mr. Fernald read the letter from the County Commissioners and said they also had correspondence from other towns in the County, one of which was the Town of Wells and he read: *"It is the position of the municipality of Wells that we support the funding of outside agencies through the County of York's annual budget appropriations and understand that all municipalities will be taxed their pro-rate share."* He added that they had indicated that the "all-in, all-out" theory was something they didn't agree with.

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

He said that they also received a letter from the Town of Alfred that has basically indicated the same as Wells.

Mr. Murphy said that he tended to agree with our commissioner, Gary Sinden, on his point that there were a great number of agencies that operated in the County and to have everyone in the County support that may not be appropriate because there were some towns that were not served by some of the agencies. He added that he thought the way that Eliot did it was the best way, that they have decided to support certain agencies because they serve the Town and they gave them letters telling the Board how they served the Town. He said to not do that in this Town and leave it up to the County where they weren't quite sure what the County did or learn after-the-fact that the Town may not choose to have some of their money go to an agency the County chose and how would the County decide the agencies. He added that it might include the York County Community College as an agency that the County would support, and it did deserve support, but he thought that should be decided at a more local level. Mr. Murphy said that, even in Eliot, there were people who questioned the whole Town paying for an agency when maybe many of the citizens didn't get the right help in the Town and didn't want to support that agency. He added, however, that the Town had settled for quite a long time that the Town, as a whole, would support certain agencies and he thought they should continue that but not at the County level where it got out of the Town's hands to make the decision.

Mr. Dunkelberger said that his esteemed colleague has assumed that it was one or the other and he didn't read that, at all, into this. He clarified that the way he read this was that York County was asking if the Town wanted them to support outside agencies with Town tax funds, not entering into any discussions of what agencies Eliot might choose to support in addition. He added that his concern was that, if they left it up to the individual towns, then there were some areas that were unincorporated that could use some support services and the agencies to provide that so he would vote yes.

Ms. Place said that they agonized every year which agencies they would support and one year they decided not to do any then reneged on that and funded the same as they did the previous year. She added that, if indeed, they were asking the Town to not fund at the local level and only fund at the County level, then she thought they should do it at the local level. She said that she guessed it could be read either way.

Mr. Dunkelberger said that he didn't catch anything about them asking the Town not to fund at the local level. He did quote from the County letter that "*...decisions to fund outside agencies is best left to the local level and taxed at the local level.*"

Mr. Fernald read, "*However, the Commissioners are also open to assisting our municipalities to determine if there is a better way to fund outside agencies with the burden being spread out amongst all the towns i.e., the County tax.*"

Mr. Moynahan said that he thought that the county had separate funding than what the towns do so there were two funding sources and they could be different. He added that, with the agencies, the Town didn't know and how did the County determine who received funds. He said that at least the Town was involved with who they put in front of the voters to fund. He said that he thought it was a very important thing, though, for some of the outside agencies to be funded and it would be nice to be more involved in the process in how they determined who was funded and how much and that sort of thing.

Mr. Fernald said that that was his feeling because it would be the Town's money that would be going to the County and the Town should have a say in how it was spent.

Ms. (Donna) Murphy said that she knew the back-story on this but was not qualified to speak to it. She said that she was requesting the Board have a conversation with their Commissioner, Gary Sinden, before a decision was made.

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

Mr. Murphy said that Mr. Sinden had made it clear a number of times, here, that he brought this problem at the County level to the County Commissioners and they had decided that they shouldn't be doing this – that they shouldn't be deciding for themselves who should get awarded and use town monies to do that without getting approval from the towns. He added that they have stopped doing that just since Mr. Sinden has been on there and made a thing about it and, now, there was a bit of opposition to that because there were a couple of Commissioners that were closely related to pet projects, which may be worthy, but were being funded in a very generous and extraordinary way and that didn't seem right but excessive. He said that, under Mr. Sinden's approach, most of the Commissioners agreed it wasn't right, that they shouldn't be doing that and gave it back to the towns, and this was an attempt to reverse that change and go back to the way it was. He said that, if the Town was going to do this kind of benevolent work, then they should know what they were giving and whom they were giving it to.

Mr. (Frank) Murphy said that they give money from this Town and they go to, like, the Shipyard Seacoast Association to save the Shipyard – different attributes like that – now that could change at any time and if the Board gave the Town's money to the County, then they would not be as concerned about people that lived in this Town and worked at the Shipyard. He added that they would be more concerned about people that lived in Biddeford or Saco that worked at the Shipyard and if Eliot's money were to go to people in Saco to save the Shipyard he felt the money should stay in Town and the Townspeople should decide where their money should go.

Mr. Fernald said that this needed to be decided on by June 30, 2012, however, he thought it might be a good idea to have a longer discussion with their Commissioner and ask how these agencies would be selected.

Mr. Dunkelberger said that his only caution to that was that last line that said that no response constituted a "do not support" so, if they didn't respond by the deadline, then they basically shot down all the other towns.

6:59 PM Mr. Moynahan moved, second by Mr. Murphy, to enter a do not support mark to the County for their outside agencies.

VOTE
3-1 (Mr. Dunkelberger)
Chair concurs with the affirmative

#4

7:00 PM

TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : Dan Blanchette
REF : Notice of Public Hearing on General Assistance Ordinance

Mr. Fernald asked Mr. Blanchette to speak on this before he opened the Public Hearing.

Mr. Blanchette said that this was a change in the General Assistance Ordinance, Appendix A. He explained that it was being changed by the State to lower the overall maximum and, as an example for a household of one, currently it was \$1,013 and the State was lowering it to \$912. He added that the second part was that the State was changing the actual ordinance, itself, in order for it read that, for the coming year of July 1, 2012 through June 2013, for any household they could only receive help with rent for up to 9 months for that 12-month period.

7:02 PM The Public Hearing was opened.

Mr. Murphy said that he has looked at this each year and he was still kind of amazed at the unevenness of this by which they move up the scale of persons in the household – 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 – and that it was not an even increase as it went from one to another. He said that, looking at the York line, for one person it was \$912 and for two, it was only \$6 more, then with three people, they added \$182 but for four people they added \$503, and he didn't understand that irregularity. He asked if Mr. Blanchette knew why that occurred.

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

Mr. Blanchette said that he did not.
Mr. Brandon clarified that this was a State regulation.
Mr. Fernald said yes.

Mr. Brandon clarified that this was enforced on the local level or could only offer this much in General Assistance.

Mr. Blanchette clarified that it was a local ordinance but the State imposed it on the Town if the Town wanted to get 50% reimbursement from the State. He said that, if the Town wanted to do their own ordinance, then they could, but they wouldn't get reimbursed from the State.

Mr. Brandon clarified that, after 9 months, they could not offer any more rent money for the rest of the year.

Mr. Blanchette clarified that it was for that household in the coming year, unless they changed it.

Mr. Murphy asked, for that same household, could they skip a month here and there at their choice.

Mr. Blanchette said yes, that it was 9 months in the 12-month period and didn't matter which months.

Mr. Dunkelberger asked Mr. Blanchette if he was set up to track that.
Mr. Blanchette said yes.
There were no more questions.

7:05 PM The Public Hearing was closed.

Mr. Murphy moved, second by Ms. Place, that the Board of Selectmen recognize and accept the required changes from the State for the General Assistance Ordinance, Appendices A, for the year 2012/2013.

VOTE
4-0
Chair concurs

Mr. Blanchette said that the Board needed another motion for the other change.

7:07 PM Mr. Dunkelberger moved, second by Mr. Murphy, that the Board of Selectmen limit the General Assistance Program for the fiscal year 2012/2013 to nine months worth of rent in the twelve-month period.

VOTE
4-0
Chair concurs

#5

7:08 PM TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : Shannon Darr RN, Health Officer of Eliot, Maine
REF : Request to hold over monies

Mr. Dunkelberger asked if they knew why the Health Officer was requesting her budget remainder be rolled over.

Mr. Fernald said that that was his question, also – if she did not use the full \$450, then what was the additional \$380 supposed to be for next year.

Mr. Moynahan said that she was funded for this year, too. He added that she must have some program she wanted to do but it would be nice to hear what that was.

Mr. Murphy and Ms. Place agreed.

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

Mr. Fernald asked Mr. Blanchette to contact Ms. Darr to indicate that the Board would need more information on what she was planning to budget this and use the money for next year.

#6

7:10 PM

TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : Jim Harville
REF : Water drainage issue

This was regarding community surface water from two Town drainage ditches flowing onto Mr. Harville's property. Mr. Fernald asked Mr. Moulton to give the Board an update.

Mr. Moulton said that the drainage material has been purchased and the project should be done by the end of the month.

Mr. Fernald asked Mr. Blanchette to send a short note to Mr. Harville would be appropriate indicating Mr. Moulton's schedule.

#7

7:12 PM

TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : Ken Wood, Attar Engineering
REF : Letter not to be reappointed to Conservation Commission

Mr. Fernald read Mr. Wood's letter and said that he needed a motion to accept his resignation.

Mr. Murphy moved, second by Ms. Place, to accept the notice of resignation from Mr. Wood, with regret and appreciation for his work.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

Mr. Fernald asked Mr. Blanchette to write a letter to Mr. Wood.

#8

7:14 PM

TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : Maine State Planning Office
REF : Coastal Program

Mr. Blanchette said that this correspondence was basically informing them that the State Planning Office (SPO) was being done away with and the Maine Coastal Program, which oversaw storm water, would be handled through a different department. He added that Ms. Pelletier would take care of the notice on page 2.

#9

7:15 PM

TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : Dan Blanchette
REF : Heating Oil bids

Mr. Blanchette said that they received quite a number of bids, adding that he would deal with just #2 oil. He said that Downeast had a fixed price of \$3.19 but as the note says, they would have to buy all the gallons whether they take it or not by the end of this year. He said that Webber was at \$3.279; Heatwave Oil was 25 cents over rack; P. Gagnon (South Berwick) was a fixed price of \$3.119 or thirty cents over market; and Jenkins provided 18 cents over rack but at a capped price of \$3.29 so it was whichever was lowest. He added that, if the Board went with a fixed price, then Gagnon out of South Berwick at \$3.119 – with Jenkins the Town would have a cap and it had the flexibility of going down if it went down.

Ms. Lemire asked what the difference was between "rack" and "over market". Mr. Blanchette said that they were the same thing.

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

Mr. Moynahan said that he thought the question was whether they were betting people or not, that 18 cents over rack was a pretty good offer but to know what they would spend over the year was a little bit comforting even though it was \$3 and change.

Mr. Murphy said that he suspected that there was no way of predicting the precise number of gallons the Town would need and he knew there was quite a variation in that from year to year. He asked Mr. Blanchette if he had a finger on the variation percentage.

Mr. Blanchette said that it was as much as 10% to 15%.

Mr. Murphy said that that meant that they didn't really want to do bid #1. He asked if the offer of \$3.119 or 30 cents over market from Gagnon went together or were two separate offers.

Mr. Blanchette said that they were two separate offers, clarifying that the \$3.119 was the fixed price.

Mr. Murphy said that, with Jenkins, the offer was \$3.29 or 18 cents over rack, whichever was lowest, and seemed to him that that might add up to the better buy, except that they were higher in the blends.

Mr. Dunkelberger asked if there was any chance that they could hop on to MSAD #35's oil buy.

Mr. Blanchette said that they had looked at that before and their minimum oil tank size was 1,000 gallons and the Town did not have that.

Mr. Dunkelberger asked if that was to fill up or join in as a bulk buy.

Mr. Blanchette said that, when MSAD #35 went out to bid, they assured their oil dealer they had 1,000-gallon tanks so the Town could not join their bid.

Mr. Dunkelberger asked when they asked that.

Mr. Blanchette said that he thought it was last year.

Mr. Dunkelberger said that he would think that since they had a 1,000-gallon tank, then, anything the Town rolled over on top of that was just additional bunkering.

Mr. Blanchette said that that was what the Town needed to have in order to go in with their bid.

Mr. Dunkelberger said that he would go with P. Gagnon because he did not think the price of oil was going to stay low.

Ms. Place and Mr. Moynahan agreed.

Mr. Murphy said that that seemed reasonable.

7:20 PM

Mr. Dunkelberger moved, second by Ms. Place, to enter into a contract with P. Gagnon & Son for heating oil for this coming fiscal year at the fixed price quoted of \$3.119.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

#10

7:22 PM

TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : Norma Jean Spinney
REF : Tax Anticipation Notes

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

Mr. Blanchette said that this was just a note from Ms. Spinney that she would like authorization from the Board to start the process for the Tax Anticipation Notes.

Mr. Murphy moved, second by Ms. Place, to authorize the Town of Eliot Treasurer to start the process for obtaining Tax Anticipation Notes.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

#11

7:24 PM

TO : Board of Selectmen
FROM : Pat Levesque
REF : Sign off on settlement sheet

Before addressing #11, Ms. Place read a letter from the Maine Municipal Tax Collectors and Treasurer's Association congratulating Ms. Levesque on successfully completing training and seminars to achieve the distinction of being a Certified Tax Collector with the MMTCTA.

The Board of Selectmen congratulated Ms. Levesque on her achievement.

Mr. Fernald said that this was a request for signing off on settlement sheets and asked Ms. Levesque to speak.

Ms. Levesque said that she was present if anyone needed an explanation as to what it was all about or if they had any questions.

Mr. Fernald read the request: *"After the tax collector does due diligence for a specific tax year the tax collector should produce a settlement for the leaders of the community to sign off on uncollectable taxes. Of course this is after the tax collector sends letters to the taxpayer that the tax is still due. I have sent repeated letters since 2006 to 2011 to these taxpayers with no avail. This settlement takes the unpaid tax amount off the tax collector for any amount that goes unpaid due to the taxpayer (this is mostly personal property taxes). Personal Property tax does not go to lien like real estate taxes, moreover, the collector may, after two years from the date of assessment, request the abatement of certain uncollectible taxes. 36 M.R.S.A. § 841."* He asked if there were questions from the Board.

Mr. Dunkelberger asked if Ms. Levesque would explain what those personal property taxes were, what they consisted of, and how they were determined.

Ms. Levesque said that it was anyone, and this went back to 2006 because they hadn't done any settlements since then, that hasn't paid a personal property tax. She added that all she could do with that was to repeatedly send letters every year, which was something she could continue to do, it just took the amount off of her so that, if she decided to retire at some point, then someone could not come back after her to collect that amount.

Mr. Dunkelberger clarified that this was not real estate tax.

Ms. Levesque said no that it was not real estate tax but personal property.

Mr. Dunkelberger asked what that consisted of.

Ms. Levesque said that, with any of the businesses in the Town, it was any personal property equipment that they owned that the assessor put a tax on. She added that it was her job to take that commitment and try to collect those taxes.

Mr. Dunkelberger said that a lot of these settlements were for businesses that were no longer here.

Ms. Levesque said that some of them have left Town and some of them just chose to ignore them – not too many of them but most of them have closed their businesses or they just left.

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

Mr. Dunkelberger said that, for those businesses that were still here and they were choosing to ignore, does the Town have any recourse.

Ms. Levesque said not really and that, legally, all she could do was request and these were very small amounts. She said they were \$1.98, \$2.00, \$14.00 and she thought that in the past couple of years the highest was \$249. She added that, except for 2006, 2007, and 2008, which was the same company and they had spoken to a lawyer and that was Symphonix, explaining that they changed their name and there wasn't really much they could do about that. She said that all she could do was the people she knew that were on the board she repeatedly every year sent them a letter and they chose to ignore it.

Mr. Fernald said that what it came down to was that it cost more to go after those people than what they owed.

Ms. Levesque said that, in some cases, yes and, after a few years that added up, with her time, effort, postage, etc.

Mr. Blanchette clarified that what Ms. Levesque was asking for tonight did not abate these taxes, that they were still owed. He said that what she was asking was that they be taken off her commitment but the taxes were still on the books to the Town.

7:29 PM Mr. Moynahan moved, second by Ms. Place, that the Board of Selectmen sign off on the enclosed settlement sheets as provided by Patricia Levesque, Tax Collector.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

7:32 PM

Old Business (Action List):

Mr. Fernald asked if there was anything on this list that the Board would like to take up.

Mr. Moynahan said that, with a new Board coming in, a lot of roles would change and it might be wise to wait.

The Board agreed by consensus to not take up the Action Item list tonight.

1. Sewer Contract Committee – Mr. Moynahan, Mr. Murphy, Mr. Marchese, Mr. Moulton and Mr. Blanchette – IMA Update
2. Monthly Reports from Department Heads
3. TIFD reports and updates
4. Health Insurance Costs
5. Review existing Sewer User Rates and update – Sewer Committee
6. Regionalization of Town Services
7. Sewer Allotments – fee for reserving such
8. Auditor – Management Letter
9. Consistent Format – Budget, Time Sheets, etc. – Mr. Moynahan and Mr. Dunkelberger
10. Monthly Workshops – 3rd Thursday of the month
11. Employee Reviews in monthly Department Head Reports
12. Budget Preparation for next year – time line, etc.
13. Legal issues – pending and Consent Agreements
14. Community Services Building
15. Police Union Contract
16. Finance Director/Comptroller
17. Personal property tax policy
18. Software to segregate fringe benefits by Department
19. Taping of meetings – policy
20. Amend Ordinance Governing Boards – time limit for agendas & meeting minutes

Selectmen's Report:

There were no reports tonight.

Other Business as Needed:

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)

Mr. Fernald said that the Board had to go down through the Warrant and make a decision on who was going to move the articles.

Mr. Fernald: Articles 9, 10, 11, 12, 18, and 21.

Ms. Place: Articles 15, 26, and 34.

Mr. Murphy: Articles 16, 17, 23, 27, 43, 44, 46, and 47.

Mr. Moynahan: Articles 22, 35, 36, and 37.

Mr. Dunkelberger: Articles 19, 20, 24, and 41.

Mr. Blanchette: Articles 6, 7, 8, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 42, 45, and 48. He will also explain undesignated funds.

Mr. Muzeroll: Article 13 and 14.

Ms. Kent: Article 25.

Mr. Beckert: Articles 38, 39, and 40.

7:45 PM

Executive Session

Mr. Moynahan moved, second by Mr. Murphy, to go into Executive Session as allowed by 1 M.R.S.A. § 405.6.A "Discussion or consideration of the employment, appointment, assignment, duties,..." personnel issue.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

8:05 PM

Out of executive session.

Chief Short present.

8:06 PM

Moved by Ms. Place, seconded by Mr. Moynahan, to go into Executive Session as allowed by 1M.R.S.A. § 405.6.A "Discussion or consideration of the employment, assignment, duties,..." personnel issue.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

8:18 PM

Out of executive session

8:19 PM

Mr. Moynahan moved, seconded by Ms. Place, that the Board of Selectmen deny Kevin Currant's request.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

Police payroll discussion.

8:22 PM

Mr. Murphy moved, seconded by Mr. Dunkelberger, that the Board of Selectmen authorize the use of contingency funds for the Police payroll.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

Adjourn

There was a motion and second to adjourn the meeting at 8:23 PM.

VOTE

4-0

Chair concurs

DATE

Roberta Place, Secretary

BOARD OF SELECTMEN'S MEETING
June 14, 2012 6:30PM (continued)